

Offshore Wind Farm

PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION REPORT

Schedule of Mitigation

Document Reference No: 004754982-02 Date: May 2023 Revision: 02





PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION REPORT

May 2023

| Project | North Falls Offshore Wind Farm | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|
| Sub-Project or Package Environmental Impact Assessment | | |
| Document Title | Schedule of Mitigation | |
| Document Reference | 004754982-02 | |
| Revision | 02 | |
| Supplier Reference No | PB9244-RHD-PE-ZZ-RP-YE-0172 | |

This document and any information therein are confidential property of North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited and without infringement neither the whole nor any extract may be disclosed, loaned, copied or used for manufacturing, provision of services or other purposes whatsoever without prior written consent of North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited, and no liability is accepted for loss or damage from any cause whatsoever from the use of the document. North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited retains the right to alter the document at any time unless a written statement to the contrary has been appended.

| Revision | Date | Status/Reason for Issue | Originator | Checked | Approved |
|--------------|----------|---------------------------------------|------------|---------|----------|
| 01 (Draft A) | 30/03/23 | Draft for internal review | RL/KD | AHa | - |
| 01 (Draft B) | 20/04/23 | Draft for internal review | RL/KD | GC | - |
| 01 (Draft C) | 02/05/23 | 1 st draft for NFOW review | RL/KD/AHo | GC/GK | - |
| 02 | 03/05/23 | Final | RL/KD/AHo | GC/GK | DH |

Contents

| 1 S | Schedule of mitigation | 10 |
|------|--|----|
| 1.1 | Introduction | 10 |
| 2 N | Aitigation and monitoring | 11 |
| 2.1 | Marine geology, oceanography and physical processes (Chapter 8) | 11 |
| 2.2 | Marine water and sediment quality (Chapter 9) | 12 |
| 2.3 | Benthic and intertidal ecology (Chapter 10) | 13 |
| 2.4 | Fish and shellfish ecology (Chapter 11) | 15 |
| 2.5 | Marine mammals (Chapter 12) | 17 |
| 2.6 | Offshore ornithology (Chapter 13) | 20 |
| 2.7 | Commercial fisheries (Chapter 14) | 22 |
| 2.8 | Shipping and navigation (Chapter 15) | 25 |
| 2.9 | Offshore and intertidal archaeology and cultural heritage (Chapter 16) | 31 |
| 2.10 | Aviation and radar (Chapter 17) | 33 |
| 2.11 | Infrastructure and other users (Chapter 18) | 36 |
| 2.12 | Ground conditions and contamination (Chapter 19) | 39 |
| 2.13 | Onshore air quality (Chapter 20) | 43 |
| 2.14 | Water resources and flood risk (Chapter 21) | 49 |
| 2.15 | Land use and agriculture (Chapter 22) | 56 |
| 2.16 | Onshore ecology (Chapter 23) | 59 |
| 2.17 | Onshore ornithology (Chapter 24) | 68 |
| 2.18 | Onshore archaeology and cultural heritage (Chapter 25) | 74 |
| 2.19 | Noise and vibration (Chapter 26) | 77 |
| 2.20 | Traffic and transport (Chapter 27) | 81 |
| 2.21 | Human health (Chapter 28) | 86 |
| 2.22 | Seascape, landscape and visual impact assessment (Chapter 29) | 88 |

| 2.23 | Landscape and visual impact assessment (Chapter 30) | 89 |
|------|---|----|
| 2.24 | Socio-economics (Chapter 31) | 91 |
| 2.25 | Tourism and recreation (Chapter 32) | 93 |
| 2.26 | Climate change (Chapter 33) | 96 |

Tables

| Table 2.1 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to marine geology, oceanography and |
|--|
| physical processes 11 |
| Table 2.2 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to marine water and sediment quality |
| |
| Table 2.3 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to benthic and intertidal ecology 13 |
| Table 2.4 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to fish and shellfish ecology 15 |
| Table 2.5 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to marine mammals 17 |
| Table 2.6 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to offshore ornithology |
| Table 2.7 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to commercial fisheries |
| Table 2.8 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to shipping and navigation |
| Table 2.9 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to offshore and intertidal archaeology |
| and cultural heritage |
| Table 2.10 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to aviation and radar |
| Table 2.11 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to infrastructure and other users 36 |
| Table 2.12 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to ground conditions and |
| contamination |
| Table 2.13 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to onshore air quality 43 |
| Table 2.14 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to water resources and flood risk 49 |
| Table 2.15 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to land use and agriculture |
| Table 2.16 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to onshore ecology 59 |

Glossary of Acronyms

| AEZArchaeological Exclusion ZonesAICAeronautical Information CircularsAIPAeronautical Information PublicationAulSAutomatic Identification SystemAelSAeronautical Information ServiceALOAgricultural Liaison OfficerANOAir Navigation OrderBCTBat Conservation TrustBPMBest Practical MeansBWMBest Practical MeansBWMConstruction Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' BailastCAACivil Aviation AuthorityCDMConstruction Design ManagementCEMPConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCL:AIREConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCCLAREConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCCLAREGConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCCLAREGConstruction International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHConstruction International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHCode of Construction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine Li | ADD | Acoustic Deterrent Device | | |
|--|---------|---|--|--|
| AIC Aeronautical Information Circulars AIP Aeronautical Information Publication AuIS Automatic Identification System AeIS Aeronautical Information Service ALO Agricultural Liason Officer ANO Air Navigation Order BCT Bat Conservation Trust BPM Best Practical Means BWM The International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments CAA Civi Aviation Authority CDM Construction Design Management CIRIA Construction Environmental Management Plan CIRIA Construction Industry Research and Information Association CL-AIRE Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan CoRS Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan CoCP Code of Construction Practice COLREG Convention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea COSHH Construction Traffic Management Plan DCO Development Consent Order DL District Level Licensing dML Deemed Marine Licence DMP Dust Management Plan DPF Diesel Particulate Filters Ecological Clerk of Works EMF Electromagnetic Fields EMP | AEZ | | | |
| AulsAutomatic Identification SystemAelSAeronautical Information ServiceALOAgricultural Liaison OfficerANOAir Navigation OrderBCTBat Conservation TrustBPMBest Practical MeansBWMThe International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and SedimentsCAACivil Aviation AuthorityCDMConstruction Design Management PlanCIRIAConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCL-AIREConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCLAREConstruction Noise and Vibration Management PlanCoCPCode of Construction PracticeCOLREGContruction International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHConstruction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDPFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLCPFisheries Liais | AIC | | | |
| AelSAeronautical Information ServiceALOAgricultural Liaison OfficerANOAir Navigation OrderBCTBat Conservation TrustBPMBest Practical MeansBWMThe International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' BallastCAACivil Aviation AuthorityCDMConstruction Design ManagementCEMPConstruction Design Management PlanCIRIAConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCL-AIREConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCL-AIREConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCL-AIREConstruction Noise and Vibration Management PlanCOCPCode of Construction PracticeCOLREGConvention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHConstruction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDFFDiesel Particulate FiltersECOWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEurogenapert PlanEPPEvidence Plan Protected SpeciesERCOPFisheries Liaison officerGASCoGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | AIP | | | |
| AelSAeronautical Information ServiceALOAgricultural Liaison OfficerANOAir Navigation OrderBCTBat Conservation TrustBPMBest Practical MeansBWMThe International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' BallastCAACivil Aviation AuthorityCDMConstruction Design ManagementCEMPConstruction Design Management PlanCIRIAConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCL-AIREConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCL-AIREConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCL-AIREConstruction Noise and Vibration Management PlanCOCPCode of Construction PracticeCOLREGConvention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHConstruction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDFFDiesel Particulate FiltersECOWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEurogenapert PlanEPPEvidence Plan Protected SpeciesERCOPFisheries Liaison officerGASCoGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | AulS | | | |
| ALOAgricultural Liaison OfficerANOAir Navigation OrderBCTBat Conservation TrustBPMBest Practical MeansBWMThe International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' BallastWater and SedimentsCAACivil Aviation AuthorityCDMConstruction Design Management PlanCIRIAConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCLAIREConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCLAIREConstruction Noise and Vibration Management PlanCRMPConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCLAIREConstruction Noise and Vibration Management PlanCoCPCode of Construction PracticeCOLREGConvention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHConstruction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDFFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | AelS | | | |
| ANOAir Navigation OrderBCTBat Conservation TrustBPMBest Practical MeansBWMThe International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and SedimentsCAACivil Aviation AuthorityCDMConstruction Design ManagementCEMPConstruction Environmental Management PlanCIRIAConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCL:AIREConstruction Method StatementsCMSConstruction Noise and Vibration Management PlanCoCPCode of Construction PracticeCOLREGConstruction on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHConstruction Traffic Management PlanCCDDevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDFFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FilddsEMPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesEFCOPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison onficerGASCoGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | | | | |
| BCT Bat Conservation Trust BPM Best Practical Means BWM The International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments CAA Civil Aviation Authority CDM Construction Design Management Plan CEMP Construction Environmental Management Plan CIRIA Construction Industry Research and Information Association CL:AIRE Construction Method Statements CNVMP Construction Practice COLP Code of Construction Practice COSHH Contruction Traftic Management Plan CTMP Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan COLEG Convention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea COSHH Construction Traftic Management Plan DCO Development Consent Order DLL District Level Licensing dML Deemed Marine Licence DMP Dust Management Plan DPF Diesel Particulate Filters EGOW Ecological Clerk of Works EMF Electromagnetic Fields EMP Evidence Plan Process <td></td> <td></td> | | | | |
| BPMBest Practical MeansBWMThe International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and SedimentsCAACivil Aviation AuthorityCDMConstruction Design ManagementCEMPConstruction Environmental Management PlanCIRIAConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCL:AIREConstruction Method StatementsCMSConstruction Noise and Vibration Management PlanCoCPCode of Construction PracticeCOLREGConvention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHConstruction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDPFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEcological Clerk of WorksEMPEcological Management PlanDPFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEcological Clerk of WorksEMPEcological Clerk of WorksEMPEcological Management PlanDPFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEvidence Plan ProcessEFSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | BCT | | | |
| BWMThe International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and SedimentsCAACivil Aviation AuthorityCDMConstruction Design ManagementCEMPConstruction Environmental Management PlanCIRIAConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCL:AIREConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCL:AIREConstruction Method StatementsCMSConstruction Noise and Vibration Management PlanCoCPCode of Construction PracticeCOLREGConvention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHConstruction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDPFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEcological Management PlanDPFEvidence Plan ProcessEFSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | | | | |
| CDMConstruction Design ManagementCEMPConstruction Environmental Management PlanCIRIAConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCL:AIREContaminated Land: Applications in Real EnvironmentsCMSConstruction Method StatementsCNVMPConstruction Noise and Vibration Management PlanCaCPCode of Construction PracticeCOLREGConvention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHConstruction Traffic Management PlanCCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeerned Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDFFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGASCoGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | | The International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast | | |
| CEMPConstruction Environmental Management PlanCIRIAConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCL:AIREContaminated Land: Applications in Real EnvironmentsCMSConstruction Method StatementsCNVMPConstruction Noise and Vibration Management PlanCoCPCode of Construction PracticeCOLREGConvention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHControl of Substances Hazardous to HealthCTMPConstruction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDFFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | САА | Civil Aviation Authority | | |
| CEMPConstruction Environmental Management PlanCIRIAConstruction Industry Research and Information AssociationCL:AIREContaminated Land: Applications in Real EnvironmentsCMSConstruction Method StatementsCNVMPConstruction Noise and Vibration Management PlanCoCPCode of Construction PracticeCOLREGConvention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHControl of Substances Hazardous to HealthCTMPConstruction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDFFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | CDM | Construction Design Management | | |
| CL:AIREContaminated Land: Applications in Real EnvironmentsCMSConstruction Method StatementsCNVMPConstruction Noise and Vibration Management PlanCoCPCode of Construction PracticeCOLREGConvention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHControl of Substances Hazardous to HealthCTMPConstruction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDFFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | CEMP | Construction Environmental Management Plan | | |
| CMSConstruction Method StatementsCNVMPConstruction Noise and Vibration Management PlanCoCPCode of Construction PracticeCOLREGConvention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHControl of Substances Hazardous to HealthCTMPConstruction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDFFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | CIRIA | Construction Industry Research and Information Association | | |
| CNVMPConstruction Noise and Vibration Management PlanCoCPCode of Construction PracticeCOLREGConvention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHControl of Substances Hazardous to HealthCTMPConstruction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDFFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLCPGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | CL:AIRE | Contaminated Land: Applications in Real Environments | | |
| CoCPCode of Construction PracticeCoLREGConvention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHControl of Substances Hazardous to HealthCTMPConstruction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDFFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison OfficerGASCoGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | CMS | | | |
| COLREGConvention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at SeaCOSHHControl of Substances Hazardous to HealthCTMPConstruction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDFFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEcological Management PlanEPPEvidence Plan ProcessERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | CNVMP | Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan | | |
| COSHHControl of Substances Hazardous to HealthCTMPConstruction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDPFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEvidence Plan ProcessEPPEvidence Plan ProcessERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | CoCP | | | |
| CTMPConstruction Traffic Management PlanDCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDPFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | COLREG | Convention on International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea | | |
| DCODevelopment Consent OrderDLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDPFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEcological Management PlanEPPEvidence Plan ProcessERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | COSHH | Control of Substances Hazardous to Health | | |
| DLLDistrict Level LicensingdMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDPFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEcological Management PlanEPPEvidence Plan ProcessERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | CTMP | Construction Traffic Management Plan | | |
| dMLDeemed Marine LicenceDMPDust Management PlanDPFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEcological Management PlanEPPEvidence Plan ProcessERCoPEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOFisheries Liaison OfficerGASCoGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | DCO | Development Consent Order | | |
| DMPDust Management PlanDPFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEcological Management PlanEPPEvidence Plan ProcessERCoPEuropean Protected SpeciesESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOFisheries Liaison OfficerGASCoGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | DLL | District Level Licensing | | |
| DPFDiesel Particulate FiltersECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEcological Management PlanEPPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | dML | Deemed Marine Licence | | |
| ECoWEcological Clerk of WorksEMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEcological Management PlanEPPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGASCoGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | DMP | Dust Management Plan | | |
| EMFElectromagnetic FieldsEMPEcological Management PlanEPPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | DPF | Diesel Particulate Filters | | |
| EMPEcological Management PlanEPPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOFisheries Liaison OfficerGASCoGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | ECoW | Ecological Clerk of Works | | |
| EPPEvidence Plan ProcessEPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOFisheries Liaison OfficerGASCoGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | EMF | Electromagnetic Fields | | |
| EPSEuropean Protected SpeciesERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOFisheries Liaison OfficerGASCoGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | EMP | Ecological Management Plan | | |
| ERCoPEmergency Response Cooperation PlanESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOFisheries Liaison OfficerGASCoGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | EPP | | | |
| ESEnvironmental StatementFLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOFisheries Liaison OfficerGASCoGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | EPS | European Protected Species | | |
| FLCPFisheries Liaison and Coexistence PlanFLOFisheries Liaison OfficerGASCoGeneral Aviation Safety CouncilGHGGreenhouse Gas | ERCoP | Emergency Response Cooperation Plan | | |
| FLO Fisheries Liaison Officer GASCo General Aviation Safety Council GHG Greenhouse Gas | ES | Environmental Statement | | |
| GASCo General Aviation Safety Council GHG Greenhouse Gas | FLCP | Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan | | |
| GHG Greenhouse Gas | FLO | Fisheries Liaison Officer | | |
| | GASCo | General Aviation Safety Council | | |
| GI Ground Investigation | GHG | Greenhouse Gas | | |
| | GI | Ground Investigation | | |

| HAT | Highest Astronomical Tide | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|--|
| HDD | Horizontal Directional Drilling | | | |
| HGV | Heavy Goods Vehicle | | | |
| IALA | International Association of Marine Aids to Navigation and Lighthouse Authorities | | | |
| ICAO | International Civil Aviation Organisation | | | |
| ICNIRP | International Commission on Non-ionizing Radiation Protection | | | |
| ILP | Institute of Lighting Professionals | | | |
| IMO | International Maritime Organization | | | |
| INNS | Invasive Non-Native Species | | | |
| IOF | Important Ornithological Features | | | |
| IPMP | In-Principle Monitoring Plan | | | |
| JNCC | Joint Nature Conservation Committee | | | |
| LBAP | Local Biodiversity Action Plan | | | |
| LLFA | Lead Local Flood Authority | | | |
| LV | Light Vehicle | | | |
| MAFF | Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food | | | |
| MARPOL | International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships | | | |
| MCA | Maritime and Coastguard Agency | | | |
| MGN | Marine Guidance Note | | | |
| MHWS | Mean High Water Springs | | | |
| MMO | Marine Management Organisation | | | |
| MMMP | Marine Mammal Mitigation Plan/Protocol | | | |
| MMP | Materials Management Plan | | | |
| MoD | Ministry of Defence | | | |
| NATS | National Air Traffic Services | | | |
| NFOW | North Falls Offshore Wind Farm | | | |
| NOTAM | Notices to Airmen | | | |
| NRA | Navigational Risk Assessment | | | |
| NRMM | Non-Road Mobile Machinery | | | |
| NtM | Notice(s) to Mariners | | | |
| NVSR | Noise and Vibration Sensitive Receptors | | | |
| OCTMP | Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan | | | |
| OCoCP | Outline Code of Construction Practice | | | |
| O&G | Oil and Gas | | | |
| OLEMS | Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy | | | |
| OLEMP | Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan | | | |
| O&M | Operation and Maintenance | | | |
| OPRoWMP | Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan | | | |
| OREI | Offshore Renewable Energy Installations | | | |
| OSPAR | Oslo and Paris Conventions | | | |

| PEIR | Preliminary Environmental Information Report | |
|--------|--|--|
| PEMP | | |
| | Project Environmental Management Plan | |
| PLONOR | Pose Little or No Risk to the Environment | |
| PMoW | Precautionary Method of Working | |
| PPE | Personal Protective Equipment | |
| PPG | Pollution Prevention Guidance | |
| PRoW | Public Rights of Way | |
| PTS | Permanent Threshold Shift | |
| SAC | Special Area of Conservation | |
| SAR | Search and Rescue | |
| SMP | Soil Management Plan | |
| SNCB | Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies | |
| SOLAS | Safety of Life at Sea | |
| SPA | Special Protection Area | |
| SSC | Suspended Sediment Concentration | |
| SSSI | Site of Special Scientific Interest | |
| TTS | Temporary Threshold Shift | |
| UKHPI | UK Habitat of Principal Importance | |
| UXO | Unexploded Ordnance | |
| WSI | Written Scheme of Investigation | |
| WTG | Wind Turbine Generators | |

Glossary of Terminology

| Array cables | Cables which link the wind turbine generators with each other and the offshore substation platform(s). | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Cable circuit | A bundle which could comprise three power cables; three telecommunications cables; and one earth cable. | | | |
| Cable construction compound | Area set aside to facilitate construction of the onshore cable route. Will be located adjacent to the onshore cable route, with access to the highway. | | | |
| Haul road | The track along the onshore cable route used by construction traffic to access different sections of the onshore cable route. | | | |
| Horizontal directional drill (HDD) | Trenchless technique to bring the offshore cables ashore at the landfall. The technique will also be used for installation of the onshore export cables at sensitive areas of the onshore cable route. | | | |
| Interconnector cable | Cable between the northern and southern array areas. | | | |
| Interconnector cable corridor | The corridor of the seabed between the northern and southern array areas | | | |
| Jointing bay | Underground structures constructed at regular intervals along the onshore cable route to join sections of cable and facilitate installation of the cables into the buried ducts. | | | |
| Landfall | The location where the offshore cables come ashore. | | | |
| Landfall construction compound | Compound at landfall within which HDD or other trenchless technique would take place. | | | |

| Landfall search area | Locations being considered for the landfall, comprising the Essex coast between Clacton-on-Sea and Frinton-on-Sea. | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Link boxes | Underground chambers or above ground cabinets next to the onshore export cables housing low voltage electrical earthing links. | | |
| National Grid connection point | The grid connection location for the Project. National Grid are proposing to construct new electrical infrastructure (a new substation) to allow the Project to connect to the grid, and this new infrastructure will be located at the National Grid connection point. | | |
| National Grid substation connection works | Infrastructure required to connect the Project to National Grid's connection point. | | |
| Offshore cable corridor | The corridor of seabed from array areas to the landfall within which the offshore export cables will be located. | | |
| Offshore export cables | The cables which bring electricity from the array areas to the landfall. | | |
| Offshore project area | The overall area of the array areas and the offshore cable corridor. | | |
| Offshore substation platform(s) | Fixed structure(s) located within the array areas, containing electrical equipment to aggregate the power from the wind turbine generators and convert it into a more suitable voltage for export to shore via offshore export cables. | | |
| Onshore cable corridor(s) | Onshore corridor(s) within which the onshore export cables and associated infrastructure will be located. A final onshore cable route for which consent will be sought will be selected from within these corridor(s). | | |
| Onshore cable route | Onshore route within which the onshore export cables and associated infrastructure would be located. | | |
| Onshore export cables | The cables which take the electricity from landfall to the onshore substation and on to the National Grid. These comprise High Voltage Alternative Current (HVAC) cables, buried underground. | | |
| Onshore project area | The boundary in which all onshore infrastructure required for the Project will be located (i.e. landfall; onshore cable route, accesses, construction compounds; onshore substation and National Grid substation extension), as considered within the PEIR. | | |
| Onshore scoping area | The boundary in which all onshore infrastructure required for the Project will be located, as considered within the North Falls EIA Scoping Report. | | |
| Onshore substation | A compound containing electrical equipment required to transform and stabilise electricity generated by the Project so that it can be connected to the National Grid. | | |
| Onshore substation construction compound | Area set aside to facilitate construction of the onshore substation. Will be located adjacent to the onshore substation (location not yet defined). | | |
| Onshore substation zone | Area within which the onshore substation will be located. | | |
| The Applicant | North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited (NFOW). | | |
| The Project Or 'North Falls' | North Falls Offshore Wind Farm, including all onshore and offshore infrastructure. | | |
| Wind turbine generator (WTG) | Power generating device that is driven by the kinetic energy of the wind | | |

1 Schedule of mitigation

1.1 Introduction

- 1. This document sets out a summary of the proposed mitigation and monitoring commitments detailed within the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) for North Falls offshore wind farm (hereafter "North Falls" or "the Project").
- 2. This document is organised following the structure of the PEIR: each PEIR chapter is presented in turn, and under each chapter heading details of each mitigation commitment is provided, followed by a separate list of each monitoring commitment. Offshore chapters (Chapter 8 18, Volume I) are presented first, followed by onshore (Chapter 19 27, Volume I) and finally project-wide chapters (Chapter 28 33, Volume I).
- 3. Both 'embedded' mitigation (which forms mitigation through design or through best practice, which will be undertaken regardless of the outcome of the assessment, to minimise impacts as far as possible) and 'additional' mitigation (which has been identified following the completion of the environmental assessment described in the PEIR, as required to minimise the effects identified) are detailed in this document.
- 4. An updated version of this Schedule of Mitigation will be submitted alongside the Environmental Statement (ES) as part of the application for a Development Consent Order (DCO). The updated version will include details of how all mitigation will be secured (e.g. via DCO Requirement).

2 Mitigation and monitoring

2.1 Marine geology, oceanography and physical processes (Chapter 8)

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--|---|---|---------------------|---|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.1.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 8 Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes | Increases in Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) and deposition of disturbed sediments to the seabed due to foundations | Embedded mitigation | For piled foundation types, such as monopiles and jackets with pin piles, pile-driving will be used in preference to drilling where it is practicable to do so (i.e. where ground conditions allow). This would minimise the quantity of sub-surface sediment released into the water column from the installation process. |
| 2.1.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 8 Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes | Increases in SSC and deposition of disturbed sediments to the seabed due to foundations and cable installation | Embedded mitigation | Micro-siting will be used where possible to minimise the requirements for seabed preparation prior to foundations and cable installation. |
| 2.1.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 8 Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes | Increases in SSC and deposition of disturbed sediments to the seabed due to cable installation | Embedded mitigation | Cables will be buried where possible, minimising the requirement for cable protection measures and thus effects on sediment transport. |
| Operation and r | naintenance | | | |
| 2.1.4 Volume 1, Chapter 8 Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes Processes (WTGs) Increases in SSC and deposition of disturbed sediments to the seabed due to wind turbine generators (WTGs) Embedded mitigation Wind turbine spacing can be described in general terms at this stage. A minimum separation distance of 5 x the rotor diameter (i.e. 820m for the smallest turbines) minimising the potential for interaction between adjacent wind turbines with respect to marine physical process. | | | | |
| Decommissioning | | | | |
| None proposed | | | | |
| Monitoring commitments | | | | |

Table 2.1 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to marine geology, oceanography and physical processes

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| None proposed | | | | |

2.2 Marine water and sediment quality (Chapter 9)

Table 2.2 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to marine water and sediment quality

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|--|---|---------------------|---|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.2.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 9 Marine Water and Sediment Quality | Accidental pollution events during construction may lead to changes in water quality and seabed sediment quality | Embedded mitigation | Committed to the use of best practice techniques and due diligence regarding the potential for pollution throughout all construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning activities. As a result, an outline PEMP will be developed to accompany the DCO application. The final PEMP would be agreed with the Marine Management Organisation (MMO) prior to construction and would include, for example, measures to control accidental release of drilling fluids whilst ensuring that any chemicals used are listed on the Oslo and Paris Conventions (OSPAR) List of Substances Used and Discharged Offshore which Are Considered to Pose Little or No Risk to the Environment (PLONOR) (OSPAR, 2021). |
| 2.2.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 9 Marine Water and Sediment Quality | Increases in SSC and deposition of disturbed sediments | Embedded mitigation | For piled foundation types, such as monopiles and jackets with pin piles, pile-driving will be used in preference to drilling where it is practicable to do so (i.e. where ground conditions allow). This would minimise the quantity of sub-surface sediment released into the water column from the installation process. |
| 2.2.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 9 Marine Water and Sediment Quality | Increases in SSC and deposition of disturbed sediments | Embedded mitigation | Micro-siting will be used where possible to minimise the requirements for seabed preparation prior to foundation and cable installation. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | | |
|------------------------|--|---|---------------------|---|--|--|
| 2.2.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 9 Marine Water and Sediment Quality | Increases in SSC and deposition of disturbed sediments | Embedded mitigation | Cables will be buried, where possible, minimising the requirement for cable protection measures and thus effects on sediment transport. | | |
| Operation and n | Operation and maintenance | | | | | |
| 2.2.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 9 Marine Water and Sediment Quality | Accidental pollution events during Operation and Maintenance (O&M) may lead to changes in water quality and seabed sediment quality | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.2.1 | | |
| Decommissioni | ng | | | | | |
| 2.2.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 9 Marine Water and Sediment Quality | Accidental pollution events during decommissioning may lead to changes in water quality and seabed sediment quality | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.2.1 | | |
| Monitoring commitments | | | | | | |
| None proposed | | | | | | |

2.3 Benthic and intertidal ecology (Chapter 10)

Table 2.3 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to benthic and intertidal ecology

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|--|---|---------------------|--|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.3.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 10 Benthic and Intertidal Ecology | Temporary physical disturbance and habitat loss in the offshore cable corridor due to seabed preparation (e.g. sandwave levelling), cable installation, anchor placement and boulder clearance | Embedded mitigation | The offshore cable corridor was selected in consultation with key stakeholders to select a route which minimised impacts on designated sites, such as avoiding overlap with the Margate and Long Sands Special Area of Conservation (SAC). See Chapter 4 Site Selection and Assessment of Alternatives (Volume I). |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------------|--|---|---------------------|---|
| 2.3.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 10 Benthic and Intertidal Ecology | Temporary physical disturbance and habitat loss of intertidal habitats and species | Embedded mitigation | The Applicant is committed to using horizontal directional drilling (HDD) from an onshore location to the subtidal zone. Therefore, there will be no impacts on the intertidal zone. |
| 2.3.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 10 Benthic and Intertidal Ecology | Damage to benthic habitats such as Sabellaria reef | Embedded mitigation | Should seabed obstacles (e.g. <i>Sabellaria</i> reef) be identified in the proposed wind turbine locations and/or cable routes during the pre-construction surveys, micrositing would be undertaken where practicable, to minimise potential effects. |
| 2.3.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 10 Benthic and Intertidal Ecology | Introduction or spread of Invasive Non-Native Species (INNS) | Embedded mitigation | The risk of spreading INNS will be reduced by employing biosecurity measures in accordance with the following requirements: International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL). The MARPOL sets out appropriate vessel maintenance; The International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments (The International Convention) for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments (BWM) Convention), which provide global regulations to control the transfer of potentially invasive species; and The Environmental Damage (Prevention and Remediation (England) Regulations 2015, which set out a polluter pays principle where the operators who cause a risk of significant damage or cause significant damage to land, water or biodiversity will have the responsibility to prevent damage occurring, or if the damage does occur will have the duty to reinstate the environment to the original condition. |
| Operation and r | naintenance | | 1 | |
| 2.3.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 10 Benthic and Intertidal Ecology | Potential for offshore export cables and the interconnector cable to produce electromagnetic fields | Embedded mitigation | The Applicant is committed to burying offshore export cables where practicable which reduces the effects of EMFs (see also 2.4.4). |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | |
|------------------|---|--|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | | (EMFs) that interfere with the behaviour of benthic species | | | |
| 2.3.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 10 Benthic and Intertidal Ecology | Introduction or spread of INNS | Embedded mitigation | Measures in 2.3.4 | |
| Decommissioni | ing | | | | |
| 2.3.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 10 Benthic and Intertidal Ecology | Introduction or spread of INNS | Embedded mitigation | Measures in 2.3.4 | |
| Monitoring com | Monitoring commitments | | | | |
| Monitoring requi | Monitoring requirements will be discussed with stakeholders in the preparation of the final ES which will be submitted alongside the DCO application. | | | | |

2.4 Fish and shellfish ecology (Chapter 11)

Table 2.4 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to fish and shellfish ecology

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|--|--|---------------------|--|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.4.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 11 Fish and Shellfish Ecology | Injury or disturbance to fish and shellfish species from underwater noise from activities associated with foundation for turbines and OSPs | Embedded mitigation | A soft start and ramp-up protocol will be used for pile driving. This would allow mobile species to move away from the area of highest noise impact during installation of foundations. |
| 2.4.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 11 Fish and Shellfish Ecology | Disturbance to fish and shellfish species from underwater noise from activities associated with foundation for turbines and OSPs | Embedded mitigation | During construction, overnight working practices would be employed offshore where appropriate so that construction activities could be 24 hours, thus reducing the overall period for potential impacts to fish communities near the offshore project area. |
| 2.4.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 11 Fish and Shellfish Ecology | Accidental pollution events during operation may result in the release of contaminants with potential effects on fish and shellfish species | Embedded mitigation | As outlined in Chapter 9 Marine Sediment and Water Quality (Volume I), the Applicant is committed to the use of best practice techniques and due diligence regarding the potential for pollution throughout all construction, O&M, and decommissioning activities. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------------|--|---|---------------------|---|
| | | | | An outline PEMP will be developed and submitted alongside the DCO application to set out the details of the measures that will be taken in relation to accidental pollution events. The final PEMP would be agreed with the MMO prior to construction. |
| Operation and m | aintenance | | | |
| 2.4.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 11 Fish and Shellfish Ecology | Effect EMF on fish and shellfish species | Embedded mitigation | The Applicant is committed to burying offshore cables where practicable to a minimum burial depth of 0.5m. Cable burial reduces the strength of EMFs to which fish and shellfish species may be exposed as it constitutes a physical barrier, with fish and shellfish species not able to transit the immediate proximity of cables where EMFs are strongest. |
| 2.4.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 11 Fish and Shellfish Ecology | Effect of EMF on fish and shellfish species | Embedded mitigation | Where cables cannot be buried to the minimum depth, appropriate surface laid cable protection will be use |
| 2.4.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 11 Fish and Shellfish Ecology | Habitat loss | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.4.4. In addition, cable burial minimises the amount of hard substrate which may be required and associated potential changes to seabed habitat. |
| 2.4.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 11 Fish and Shellfish Ecology | Accidental pollution events during O&M may result in the release of contaminants with potential effects on fish and shellfish species | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.4.3 |
| Decommissionin | ng | | | |
| 2.4.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 11 Fish and Shellfish Ecology | Accidental pollution events during decommissioning may result in the release of contaminants with potential effects on fish and shellfish species | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.4.3 |
| Monitoring com | nitments | | · | |
| None proposed | | | | |

2.5 Marine mammals (Chapter 12)

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.5.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 12 Marine Mammals | Mortality, injury (including permanent threshold shift (PTS) or temporary threshold shift (TTS)) or disturbance to marine mammal species from construction noise | Embedded mitigation | Each piling event would commence with a soft-start at a lower hammer energy followed, by a gradual ramp- up for at least 20 minutes to the maximum hammer energy required (the maximum hammer energy is only likely to be required at a few of the piling installation locations). |
| 2.5.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 12 Marine Mammals | Risk of vessel collision | Embedded mitigation | Vessel movements, where practicable, will follow set vessel routes and hence areas where marine mammals are accustomed to vessels, in order to reduce any increased collision risk. All vessel movements will be kept to the minimum number that is required to reduce any potential collision risk. Additionally, vessel operators will use best practice to reduce any risk of collisions with marine mammals. |
| 2.5.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 12 Marine Mammals | Accidental pollution events during construction may result in the release of contaminants with potential effects on marine mammals or prey species | Embedded mitigation | As outlined in Chapter 9 Marine Sediment and Water Quality (Volume I), the Applicant is committed to the use of best practice techniques and due diligence regarding the potential for pollution throughout construction activities. An outline PEMP will be developed and submitted alongside the DCO application to set out the details of the measures that will be taken in relation to accidental pollution events. The final PEMP would be agreed with the MMO prior to construction. |
| 2.5.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 12 Marine Mammals | Mortality, injury (including PTS or TTS) or disturbance to marine mammal species from piling noise | Additional mitigation | The Marine Mammal Mitigation Plan/Protocol (MMMP) for piling will be developed in the pre-construction period and based upon best available information, methodologies, industry best practice, latest scientific understanding, current guidance and detailed project design. The MMMP for piling will be developed in consultation with the relevant Statutory Nature |

Table 2.5 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to marine mammals

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|--|---|-----------------------|---|
| | | | | Conservation Bodies (SNCBs) and the MMO, detailing the proposed mitigation to reduce the risk of any physical or permanent auditory injury to marine mammals during all piling operations. |
| 2.5.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 12 Marine Mammals | Mortality, injury (including PTS or TTS) or disturbance to marine mammal species from accidental unexploded ordnance (UXO) detonation | Additional mitigation | A detailed MMMP will be prepared for UXO clearance during the pre-construction phase. The MMMP for UXO clearance will ensure there are adequate mitigation to minimise the risk of any physical or permanent auditory injury to marine mammals as a result of UXO clearance. The MMMP for UXO clearance will be developed in the pre-construction period, when there is more detailed information on the UXO clearance which could be required and the most suitable mitigation, based upon best available information and methodologies at that time, in consultation with the MMO and relevant SNCBs. The MMMP for UXO clearance will include details of all the required mitigation to minimise the potential risk of physical and auditory injury as a result of underwater noise during UXO clearance, for example, this would consider the options, suitability and effectiveness of mitigation such as, but not limited to: Low-order disposal technique, such as deflagration; The use of bubble curtains (taking into consideration the environmental limitations); All detonations to take place in daylight and, when possible, in favourable conditions with good visibility (sea state 3 or less); Establishment of a monitoring area with minimum of 1km radius. The observation of the monitoring area will be by dedicated and trained marine mammal observers during daylight hours and suitable visibility; The activation of Acoustic Deterrent Devices (ADDs); The controlled explosions of the UXO will be |
| | | | | undertaken by specialist contractors, using the |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------------|--|---|-----------------------|--|
| | | | | minimum amount of explosive required in order to achieve safe disposal of the UXO; and Other UXO clearance techniques, such as the use of scare charge; multiple detonations, if UXO are located in close proximity; avoidance of UXO; or relocation of UXO. |
| 2.5.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 12 Marine Mammals | Cumulative disturbance of harbour porpoise in relation to the Southern North Sea SAC conservation objectives | Additional mitigation | In addition to the MMMPs for piling and UXO clearance, a Southern North Sea SAC Site Integrity Plan will be developed. The Site Integrity Plan will set out the approach to deliver any project mitigation or management measures to reduce the potential for any significant disturbance of harbour porpoise in relation to the Southern North Sea SAC conservation objectives. The Site Integrity Plan will be an adaptive management tool, which can be used to ensure that the most adequate, effective and appropriate measures, if required, are put in place to reduce the significant disturbance of harbour porpoise in the Southern North Sea SAC. The Site Integrity Plan will be developed in the pre- construction period and will be based upon best available information and methodologies at that time, in consultation with the relevant SNCBs and MMO. |
| Operation and n | naintenance | | | |
| 2.5.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 12 Marine Mammals | Vessel activity during operation may result in an increased risk of collision with marine mammals | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.5.2 |
| 2.5.8 | Volume 1, Chapter 12 Marine Mammals | Accidental pollution events during O&M may result in the release of contaminants with potential effects on marine mammals or prey species | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.5.3 |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | | | |
|------------------------|---|---|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Decommissioni | Decommissioning | | | | | | |
| 2.5.9 | Volume 1, Chapter 12 Marine Mammals | Vessel activity during decommissioning may result in an increased risk of collision with marine mammals | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.5.2 | | | |
| 2.5.10 | Volume 1, Chapter 12 Marine Mammals | Accidental pollution events during decommissioning may result in the release of contaminants with potential effects on marine mammals or prey species | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.5.3 | | | |
| Monitoring commitments | | | | | | | |
| Monitoring will be | Monitoring will be secured through the MMMP, as described in 2.5.4 and 2.5.5. | | | | | | |

2.6 Offshore ornithology (Chapter 13)

Table 2.6 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to offshore ornithology

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|--|---|---------------------|--|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.6.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 13 Offshore Ornithology | Impact from displacement or disturbance of species from protected sites | Embedded mitigation | Offshore cable corridor site selection minimises overlap with the Outer Thames Estuary Special Protection Area (SPA). Site selection was undertaken in consultation with Natural England (see Chapter 4 Site selection and assessment of alternatives (Volume I)) |
| 2.6.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 13 Offshore Ornithology | Disturbance or displacement of red-throated divers from vessels | Embedded mitigation | Best-practice shipping protocol to minimise disturbance to red-throated divers. This would |

| comprise the following measures with North Falls¹: designing vessel transit rout construction, operation and far as possible to minimise t boundary and a 2km buffer; (in combination with the abo movements to existing navig the densities of divers are ty where it is necessary to go of the second se | ng commitments |
|---|--|
| navigational routes, selectin known aggregations of birds maintaining direct transit rout transit distances through are avoidance of over-revving of noise disturbance); and briefing of vessel crew on th implications of these vessel | tes during decommissioning as transit within the SPA ove) restricting vessel gation routes (where /pically relatively low); outside of established og routes that avoid s; utes (to minimise eas used by divers); of engines (to minimise me purpose and management |
| practices (through, for exam | nple, tool-box talks). |
| Operation and maintenance | |
| 2.6.3 Volume 1, Chapter 13 Offshore Ornithology Mortality from collision with rotating wind turbine generator (WTG) blades Blades Mortality from collision with rotating wind turbine generator (WTG) blades Mean High Water Springs (MHW Highest Astronomical Tide (HAT) of 5m above the minimum of 22m navigation purposes to reduce or (as most seabirds tend to fly low | urface of 27m above VS) (26.6m above)). This is an increase m MHWS required for ollision risk for birds |
| Decommissioning | |
| None proposed | |

¹ NB: this mitigation applies for the operation and maintenance phase, as well as construction.

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Monitoring commitm | ents | | | |
| None proposed | | | | |

2.7 Commercial fisheries (Chapter 14)

Table 2.7 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to commercial fisheries

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|--|---|---------------------|--|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.7.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Accidental pollution events during operation may result in the release of contaminants with potential effects on commercially valuable fish and shellfish species | Embedded mitigation | Committed to the use of best practice techniques and due diligence regarding the potential for pollution throughout all construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning activities. As a result, an outline PEMP will be developed to accompany the DCO application. The final PEMP would be agreed with the MMO prior to construction and would include, for example, measures to control accidental release of drilling fluids whilst ensuring that any chemicals used are listed on the OSPAR List of Substances Used and Discharged Offshore which Are Considered to PLONOR (OSPAR, 2021). |
| 2.7.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Interference with fishing activities | Embedded mitigation | A Fisheries Liaison Officer (FLO) will be appointed for the Construction Phase and as required during the Operation Phase (including maintenance and repair – see below) to provide a project specific point of contac to liaise and engage with the fishing industry. |
| 2.7.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Interference with fishing activities | Embedded mitigation | The Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan (FLCP) detailing the scheduling, approach and stakeholders with whom liaison will be conducted and the content and formats of information to be provided and the process of recording and acting upon feedback from stakeholders. The FLCP will detail any additional |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | | | appropriate evidence-based mitigation measures in line with FLOWW guidance. |
| 2.7.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Interference with fishing activities | Embedded mitigation | Timely and efficient distribution of Notice(s) to Mariners' (NtMs), Kingfisher notifications and other navigational warnings of the position and nature of works associated with the Project. |
| 2.7.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Interference with fishing activities | Embedded mitigation | Development of a standard procedure for the claim of loss of/or damage to fishing gear to facilitate co- existence and minimise potential adverse interactions between Project vessels and fishing activities. |
| 2.7.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Interference with fishing activities | Embedded mitigation | Development of a Code of Good Practice for contracted vessels facilitates co-existence between vessels undertaking works for the Project and fishing vessels and helps minimise potential adverse interactions. This will be in addition to compliance of all project vessels with international marine regulations as adopted by the Flag State, notably the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea (COLREG) and Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS). |
| 2.7.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Interference with fishing activities | Embedded mitigation | The Applicant is committed to burying offshore cables where practicable to a minimum burial depth of 0.5m. Cable burial minimises potential interactions between fishing gear and cables. In addition, cable burial minimises the amount of hard substrate which may be required. Following industry best-practice the Applicant will evaluate appropriate cable protection methods available for cables which cannot be buried to the minimum depth of 0.5. |
| 2.7.8 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Interference with fishing activities | Embedded mitigation | The Applicant will determine suitable cable burial depths and protection measures via a cable burial risk assessment process. This will consider the vessel densities, types and sizes across and in the vicinity of the offshore cable corridor and interconnector cable corridor to ensure protection / burial is sufficient. |
| Operation and r | naintenance | | | |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|--|---|---------------------|--|
| 2.7.9 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Interference with fishing activities | Embedded mitigation | A FLO will be appointed as required during the Operation Phase (including maintenance and repair) to provide a project specific point of contact to liaise and engage with the fishing industry. |
| 2.7.10 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Presence of cable protection causing damage to fishing gear | Embedded mitigation | Information on the areas where cable protection is installed will be distributed to relevant representative organisations and stakeholders in appropriate formats for inclusion in charts and information bulletins. |
| 2.7.11 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Presence of cable protection causing damage to fishing gear | Embedded mitigation | Where rock placement is used for cable protection consideration will be given to designs that minimise potential gear snagging risk (i.e. use of graded rock and 1:3 profile berms). This will facilitate co-existence and minimise potential damage to and from fishing gear and associated safety risks. |
| 2.7.12 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Presence of cable protection causing damage to fishing gear | Embedded mitigation | In the event that cable exposures are identified during the operational phase, the location of these will be published via the standard notices with additional liaison to be undertaken with fisheries stakeholders. Where appropriate, additional temporary measures would also be put in place (e.g., surface marker buoys, use of guard vessels, etc). |
| 2.7.13 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Presence of cable protection causing damage to fishing gear | Embedded mitigation | Undertaking of post-lay and burial inspection surveys and, where appropriate and practicable, undertaking of rectification works. This facilitates co-existence and prevents potential damage to and from fishing gear and minimises potential safety risks. |
| 2.7.14 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Accidental pollution events during operation may result in the release of contaminants with potential effects on commercially valuable fish and shellfish species | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.7.1 |
| 2.7.15 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Interference with fishing activities | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.7.3 |
| 2.7.16 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Interference with fishing activities | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.7.4 |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 2.7.17 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Interference with fishing activities | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.7.5 | |
| 2.7.18 | Volume 1, Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries | Interference with fishing activities | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.7.6 | |
| Monitoring commitments | | | | | |
| Monitoring requirements will be discussed with stakeholders in the preparation of the final ES which will be submitted alongside the DCO application. | | | | | |

2.8 Shipping and navigation (Chapter 15)

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|---|--|---------------------|--|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.8.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally. Increases in wind farm vessel activity associated with the construction of North Falls could lead to increased collision rates in the area. | Embedded mitigation | Application for safety zones during the construction phase and periods of major maintenance. Application for safety zones will be made post consent under 'The Electricity (Offshore Generating Stations) (Safety Zones) (Applications Procedures and Control of Access) Regulations 2007' (S.I. No 2007/1948). |
| 2.8.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Increases in wind farm vessel activity associated with the construction of North Falls could lead to increased collision rates in the area. | Embedded mitigation | Compliance by all Project vessels with COLREGS (International Maritime Organization (IMO), 1972) and SOLAS (IMO, 1974). |
| 2.8.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing | Embedded mitigation | Layout will be discussed and agreed with the Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA) and Trinity House. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | vessels or vessels navigating internally | | |
| 2.8.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally. | Embedded mitigation | Compliance with all aspects of Marine Guidance Note (MGN) 654 Safety of Navigation: Offshore Renewable Energy Installations (OREIs) – Guidance on UK Navigational Practice, Safety and Emergency Response including its annexes. |
| 2.8.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Increases in wind farm vessel activity associated with the construction of North Falls could lead to increased collision rates in the area. | Embedded mitigation | Marine Coordination implemented to ensure management of project vessel movements. |
| 2.8.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Reduction of emergency response capability due to increased incident rates and/or reduced access for search and rescue responders. | Embedded mitigation | Emergency Response Cooperation Plan (ERCoP) in the required MCA format and structure and as required under MGN 654. |
| 2.8.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally. Increases in wind farm vessel activity associated with the construction of North Falls could lead to increased collision rates in the area. | Embedded mitigation | Advance warning and accurate location details of all construction, maintenance and decommissioning operations. This will include any associated Safety Zones and will be given via usual means including Notices to Mariners and Kingfisher Bulletins. |
| 2.8.8 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally | Embedded mitigation | Use of guard vessels where identified as necessary via risk assessment, as required under MGN 654. |
| 2.8.9 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally. Reduction of emergency response capability due to increased incident | Additional mitigation | Further consultation required following post PEIR design refinements. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|---------------|--|--|---------------------|--|
| | | rates and/or reduced access for search and rescue responders. | | |
| Operation and | maintenance | | | |
| 2.8.10 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally | Embedded mitigation | Lighting and marking in consultation and agreement with Trinity House, MCA, and the Civil Aviation Authority, and considering International Association of Marine Aids to Navigation and Lighthouse Authorities (IALA) G1162/O-139 (IALA, 2021). |
| 2.8.11 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally. Increases in wind farm vessel activity associated with the maintenance of North Falls could lead to increased collision rates in | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.8.1 |
| | | the area. | | |
| 2.8.12 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Increases in wind farm vessel activity associated with the maintenance of North Falls could lead to increased collision rates in the area. | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.8.2 |
| 2.8.13 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.8.3 |
| 2.8.14 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.8.4 |
| 2.8.15 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Increases in wind farm vessel activity associated with the maintenance of North Falls could lead to increased collision rates in the area. | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.8.5 |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|---------------|--|---|-----------------------|--|
| 2.8.16 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Reduction of emergency response capability due to increased incident rates and/or reduced access for search and rescue responders | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.8.6 |
| 2.8.17 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally. Increases in wind farm vessel activity associated with the maintenance of North Falls could lead to increased collision rates in the area. | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.8.7 |
| 2.8.18 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.8.8 |
| 2.8.19 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally | Embedded mitigation | Display of North Falls infrastructure (including cables) on appropriately scaled nautical charts. |
| 2.8.20 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally | Embedded mitigation | Assessment of required cable protection measures. |
| 2.8.21 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally. Reduction of emergency response capability due to increased incident rates and/or reduced access for search and rescue responders. | Additional mitigation | Further consultation required following post PEIR design refinements. |
| Decommissioni | ng | · · · · | | 1 |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|--|---|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2.8.22 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally. Increases in wind farm vessel activity associated with the decommissioning of North Falls could lead to increased collision rates in the area. | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.8.1 |
| 2.8.23 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Increases in wind farm vessel activity associated with the decommissioning of North Falls could lead to increased collision rates in the area. | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.8.2 |
| 2.8.24 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.8.4 |
| 2.8.25 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Increases in wind farm vessel activity associated with the decommissioning of North Falls could lead to increased collision rates in the area. | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.8.5 |
| 2.8.26 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Reduction of emergency response capability due to increased incident rates and/or reduced access for search and rescue responders. | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.8.6 |
| 2.8.27 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally. Increases in wind farm vessel activity associated with the decommissioning of North Falls could lead to increased collision rates in the area. | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.8.7 |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|----------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| 2.8.28 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.8.8 |
| Monitoring com | mitments | | | |
| 2.8.29 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Increases in wind farm vessel activity associated with the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning of North Falls could lead to increased collision rates in the area. | Additional mitigation/ Monitoring | Construction traffic monitoring by Automatic Identification System (AuIS), including continual collection of data from a suitable location. An assessment of a minimum of 28 days and comparison against the results of the Navigational Risk Assessment (NRA) vessel traffic analysis and anticipated future case routing will be submitted to the MCA annually throughout the construction phase and is likely to continue through the first year of the operation and maintenance phase to ensure measures implemented are effective. |
| 2.8.30 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Any changes in water depth associated with the installed cable protection could lead to an increase in underkeel interaction risk for third party vessels navigating in the area. | Additional mitigation/ Monitoring | The sub-sea cables will be subject to periodic inspection post construction to monitor cable burial depths and protection. If exposed cables or ineffective cable protection measures are identified, these would be promulgated to relevant sea users including via notifications to mariners and Kingfisher Bulletins and if there was deemed to be an immediate risk additional temporary measures may be deployed until such time as the risk is permanently mitigated. |
| 2.8.31 | Volume 1, Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation | Structures within the array areas will increase allision risk to passing vessels or vessels navigating internally | Additional mitigation/ Monitoring | As required by MGN 654, detailed and accurate hydrographic surveys will be undertaken periodically at intervals agreed with the MCA. |

2.9 Offshore and intertidal archaeology and cultural heritage (Chapter 16)

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|--|---|-----------------------|---|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.9.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 16 Offshore and Intertidal Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Direct impacts to heritage assets, either present on the seafloor or buried within seabed deposits, may result in damage to, or total destruction of, archaeological material or the relationships between that material and the wider environment | Embedded mitigation | Archaeological Exclusion Zones (AEZs) around the extents of known wreck sites, marine geophysical anomalies of archaeological interest (A1s) and previously recorded sites that have not been seen in the geophysical data (A3s) and at which the presence of surviving material is considered possible. No development related activities will take place within an AEZ. |
| 2.9.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 16 Offshore and Intertidal Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Direct (physical) impact to potential heritage assets | Embedded mitigation | Avoidance where practicable of identified anomalies (A2s) by micro-siting of design |
| 2.9.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 16 Offshore and Intertidal Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Direct (physical) impact to potential heritage assets | Embedded mitigation | Avoidance by micro-siting where practicable of previously recorded sites that have not been seen in the geophysical data (A3s) and at which the presence of surviving material is considered unlikely |
| 2.9.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 16 Offshore and Intertidal Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Direct (physical) impact to potential heritage assets | Embedded mitigation | Further investigation of any identified anomalies (A2s) and previously recorded sites (A3s) that cannot be avoided by micro-siting of design and the application of either embedded mitigation (avoidance) or additional mitigation |
| 2.9.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 16 Offshore and Intertidal Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Direct impacts to heritage assets, either present on the seafloor or buried within seabed deposits, may result in damage to, or total destruction of, archaeological material or the relationships between that material and the wider environment | Additional mitigation | AEZs may be reduced, enlarged or removed in agreement with Historic England if further relevant information becomes available. However, unless modified by agreement, it is important that AEZs are retained throughout the lifetime of North Falls and monitoring of AEZs may be required by the regulator and Historic England to ensure adherence both during construction and in the future operation of the wind farm. |

Table 2.9 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to offshore and intertidal archaeology and cultural heritage

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------------|--|---|-----------------------|---|
| 2.9.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 16 Offshore and Intertidal Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Direct impacts to heritage assets, either present on the seafloor or buried within seabed deposits, may result in damage to, or total destruction of, archaeological material or the relationships between that material and the wider environment | Additional mitigation | Further archaeological assessment of high-resolution geophysical data and geoarchaeological assessment of geotechnical data will be undertaken post- application/ post-consent in order to reduce, as far as possible, the potential for unintended impacts during construction. |
| 2.9.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 16 Offshore and Intertidal Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Direct (physical) impact to potential heritage assets | Additional mitigation | The archaeological assessment of post-construction monitoring data will further reduce, as far as possible, the potential for unintended impacts during operation. If further features of archaeological interest are identified these will be subject to the same mitigation as described for known heritage assets described in mitigation point 2.9.5 above with the primary approach being avoidance. |
| Operation and n | naintenance | | | |
| 2.9.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 16 Offshore and Intertidal Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Direct (physical) impact to potential heritage assets | Additional mitigation | The archaeological assessment of post-construction monitoring data will further reduce, as far as possible, the potential for unintended impacts during operation. If further features of archaeological interest are identified these will be subject to the same mitigation as described for known heritage assets described in mitigation point 2.9.5 above with the primary approach being avoidance. |
| Decommissioni | ng | | | |
| 2.9.8 | Volume 1, Chapter 16 Offshore and Intertidal Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Direct impacts to heritage assets, either present on the seafloor or buried within seabed deposits, may result in damage to, or total destruction of, archaeological material or the relationships between that material and the wider environment | Additional mitigation | The archaeological assessment of any further geophysical data will further reduce, as far as possible, the potential for unintended impacts during decommissioning. If further features of archaeological interest are identified these will be subject to the same mitigation as described for known heritage assets described in mitigation point 2.9.5 above with the primary approach being avoidance. In the event of an unexpected discovery, the ongoing implementation of a formal protocol for archaeological |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | | | | |
|------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | discoveries, throughout the decommissioning phase, will allow for such discoveries to be efficiently reported, for advice to be provided and for any further mitigation to be considered on a case by case basis, proportionate to the significance of the discovery. | | | | |
| Monitoring com | mitments | | | | | | | |
| | Monitoring requirements will be described in the in-principle monitoring plan (IPMP) submitted alongside the DCO application and further developed and agreed with stakeholders prior to construction based on the IPMP and taking account of the final detailed design of North Falls. | | | | | | | |
| The requirements | The requirements for monitoring for offshore archaeology and cultural heritage will be set out in the Outline (offshore) Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI). This is anticipated to | | | | | | | |

The requirements for monitoring for offshore archaeology and cultural heritage will be set out in the Outline (offshore) Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI). This is anticipated to comprise the archaeological assessment of post- construction marine geophysical data to include an assessment of AEZs to confirm that impacts have not occurred during or post-construction and that the size and extent of the AEZs remain fit for purpose.

2.10 Aviation and radar (Chapter 17)

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|---|--|---------------------|---|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.10.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 17 Aviation and Radar | Construction of the wind farm will involve the installation of infrastructure above sea level which could pose a physical obstruction to aircraft utilising the airspace in the vicinity of the North Falls array areas. | Embedded mitigation | Measures will include issuing Notices to Airmen (NOTAMs) and Aeronautical Information Circulars (AICs), warning of the establishment of obstacles within the North Falls array area and publicity in such aviation publications as Safety Sense and the General Aviation Safety Council (GASCo) Flight Safety magazine. |
| 2.10.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 17 Aviation and Radar | Construction of the wind farm will involve the installation of infrastructure above sea level which could pose a physical obstruction to aircraft utilising the airspace in the vicinity of the North Falls array areas. | Embedded mitigation | At various points during the development details of the position, height above mean sea level (amsl) and lighting of each of the completed permanent structures will be forwarded to the National Air Traffic Services (NATS) Aeronautical Information Service (AeIS) for inclusion in the UK Aeronautical Information Publication (AIP) and on relevant aeronautical charts, as notifiable permanent obstructions. This permanent |

Table 2.10 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to aviation and radar

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------------|--|--|---------------------|--|
| | | | | information will replace the short-term NOTAMs that will continue to be issued to cover the Project until construction has been completed. |
| 2.10.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 17 Aviation and Radar | Construction of the wind farm will involve the installation of infrastructure above sea level which could pose a physical obstruction to aircraft utilising the airspace in the vicinity of the North Falls array areas. | Embedded mitigation | En route navigation charts will be updated as the site construction proceeds. All obstacles over 300ft (91.4m) amsl must be notified to the Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) for inclusion in the UK AIP (section ENR5.4) and on aeronautical maps, and to the Defence Geographic Centre for inclusion in Ministry of Defence (MoD) databases. |
| Operation and m | naintenance | | | |
| 2.10.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 17 Aviation and Radar | Infrastructure above sea level could pose a physical obstruction to aircraft utilising the airspace in the vicinity of the North Falls array areas. | Embedded mitigation | The international marking and lighting requirement, set out in the International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) Annex 14: Aerodrome Design and Operations, specifies that: "a wind turbine shall be marked and / or lighted if it is determined to be an obstacle."; and "the rotor blades, nacelle and upper 2/3 of the supporting mast of wind turbines should be painted white, unless otherwise indicated by an aeronautical study." UK regulations adopt ICAO Annex 14's requirements as to lighting of WTGs but do not require that WTGs follow the ICAO recommendation as to paint colour, although CAP 764 does set out the ICAO recommendation by way of guidance. In terms of marking the WTGs, in keeping with recent practice for offshore wind farms, it is anticipated that Trinity House will require all structures to be painted yellow from the level of HAT to a height directed by Trinity House, and above the yellow section all WTGs will be painted submarine grey. |
| 2.10.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 17 Aviation and Radar | Infrastructure above sea level could pose a physical obstruction to aircraft utilising the airspace in the | Embedded mitigation | North Falls will be lit in accordance with the Air Navigation Order (ANO). ANO Article 222 defines an 'en route obstacle' as any building, structure or erection, the height of which is 150m or more above ground level and requires these to be lit. Article 223 |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|---|---------------------|---|
| | | vicinity of the North Falls array areas. | | modifies the Article 222 requirement with respect to offshore WTGs, requiring these to be lit where they exceed 60m above HAT with a medium intensity (2000 candela (cd)) steady red light mounted on the top of each nacelle and requires for limited downward spillage of light. Article 223 allows for the CAA to permit that not all WTGs are so lit. The CAA will require that all WTGs on the periphery of any wind farm need to be equipped with aviation warning lighting and such lighting, where achievable, shall be spaced at longitudinal intervals not exceeding 900m. There is no current routine requirement for offshore obstacles to be fitted with intermediate vertically spaced aviation lighting. CAA guidance has been subject to coordination with maritime agencies to avoid confusion with maritime lighting. To that end, the CAA has indicated that the use of a flashing red Morse Code letter 'W' is likely to be approved to resolve potential issues for the maritime community. |
| 2.10.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 17 Aviation and Radar | Infrastructure above sea level could pose a physical obstruction to aircraft utilising the airspace in the vicinity of the North Falls array areas. | Embedded mitigation | The Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA) is seeking that WTG blade tips are marked in red, together with markings down the blade, to provide a Search and Rescue (SAR) helicopter pilot with a hover reference point as set out in the Offshore Renewable Energy Installations (OREI) SAR Requirements document. The MCA also seeks a lighting scheme comprising 200cd red / infra-red lights on the nacelles of non-Article 223 WTGs, to be operated on demand during SAR operations and a WTG shutdown protocol to be applied during rescue situations. An Emergency Response and Cooperation Plan (ERCoP) will be developed and implemented for all phases of the Project, based upon the MCA's standard template. Appropriate lighting will be utilised to facilitate heli- hoisting if undertaken within the North Falls array area, as outlined in CAP 437. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | |
|------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|---|--|
| 2.10.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 17 Aviation and Radar | Infrastructure above sea level could pose a physical obstruction to aircraft utilising the airspace in the vicinity of the North Falls array areas. | Embedded mitigation | To satisfy MoD requirements, the WTGs will also be required to be fitted with infra-red lighting in combination with the ANO Article 223 lights. MoD lighting guidance indicates that provided combination infra red / 2000cd visible red lights are used to light the WTGs required to be lit under ANO Article 223, this satisfies the MoD operational requirement. | |
| 2.10.8 | Volume 1, Chapter 17 Aviation and Radar | Wind turbine generators could cause interference on civil and military radars. | Additional mitigation | Technical mitigation solution applied to impacted radars to be agreed with the radar operators. | |
| Decommissioni | ng | | | | |
| 2.10.9 | Volume 1, Chapter 17 Aviation and Radar | WTGs causing permanent interference on civil and military radars | Additional mitigation | Mitigations applicable from operation phase to remain in place until all WTG blades are removed | |
| Monitoring commitments | | | | | |
| None proposed | | | | | |

2.11 Infrastructure and other users (Chapter 18)

Table 2.11 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to infrastructure and other users

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|--|--|---------------------|--|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.11.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 18 Infrastructure and Other Users | Interference of North Falls with other marine users could arise from navigational safety issues, aviation (i.e. helicopter operations); overlap of infrastructure and potential interactions during construction, operation and decommissioning; | Embedded mitigation | Owners and operators of infrastructure (other wind farm developers, dredging companies and cable operators) have been and will continue to be, consulted by the Applicant, and commercial and technical agreements will be put in place where required ahead of construction. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|--|---|---------------------|--|
| | | and increased pressure on port facilities. | | |
| 2.11.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 18 Infrastructure and Other Users | Interference of North Falls with other marine users could arise from navigational safety issues, aviation (i.e. helicopter operations); overlap of infrastructure and potential interactions during construction, operation and decommissioning; and increased pressure on port facilities. | Embedded mitigation | Advance warning and accurate location details of construction, maintenance and decommissioning operations, associated safety zones and advisory passing distances will be given via Notices to Mariners and Kingfisher Bulletins and other appropriate media. This will be secured through the DCO / Deemed Marine Licence (dML) conditions. Relevant shipping and navigation mitigations are described in Table 2.8. |
| 2.11.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 18 Infrastructure and Other Users | Interference of North Falls with other marine users could arise from navigational safety issues, aviation (i.e. helicopter operations); overlap of infrastructure and potential interactions during construction, operation and decommissioning; and increased pressure on port facilities. | Embedded mitigation | Crossing and proximity agreements will be agreed post-consent with the relevant asset owners, where required. |
| 2.11.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 18 Infrastructure and Other Users | Interference of North Falls with other marine users could arise from navigational safety issues, aviation (i.e. helicopter operations); overlap of infrastructure and potential interactions during construction, operation and decommissioning; and increased pressure on port facilities. | Embedded mitigation | Consultation with Trinity House to determine appropriate lighting and marking. |
| 2.11.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 18 Infrastructure and Other Users | Interference of North Falls with other marine users could arise from navigational safety issues, aviation (i.e. helicopter operations); overlap of infrastructure and potential interactions during construction, operation and decommissioning; | Embedded mitigation | Alignment of turbines as required under MGN 654 to provide obstruction free SAR access. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------------|--|---|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | and increased pressure on port facilities. | | |
| Operation and n | naintenance | | | |
| 2.11.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 18 Infrastructure and Other Users | Interference of North Falls with other marine users could arise from navigational safety issues, aviation (i.e. helicopter operations); overlap of infrastructure and potential interactions during construction, operation and decommissioning; and increased pressure on port facilities. | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.11.1 |
| 2.11.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 18 Infrastructure and Other Users | Interference of North Falls with other marine users could arise from navigational safety issues, aviation (i.e. helicopter operations); overlap of infrastructure and potential interactions during construction, operation and decommissioning; and increased pressure on port facilities. | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.11.2 |
| Decommissioni | ng | | | |
| 2.11.8 | Volume 1, Chapter 18 Infrastructure and Other Users | Interference of North Falls with other marine users could arise from navigational safety issues, aviation (i.e. helicopter operations); overlap of infrastructure and potential interactions during construction, operation and decommissioning; and increased pressure on port facilities. | Embedded mitigation | As described in 2.11.2 |
| Monitoring com | mitments | | · | |
| None proposed | | | | |

2.12 Ground conditions and contamination (Chapter 19)

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.12.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 19 Ground Conditions and Contamination | Potentially contaminated areas | Additional mitigation | A pre-construction targeted ground investigation would be undertaken in areas identified as potential sources of contamination in order to assess site characteristics of the onshore project area. This would then allow for the assessment of contaminated areas and appropriate remediation strategies to be produced should the identified contamination be deemed to represent an unacceptable risk to human health. The strategy would be implemented following approval by the local authorities. |
| 2.12.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 19 Ground Conditions and Contamination | Preferential pathways for ground gas/vapours | Additional mitigation | The use of materials with a similar porosity, e.g. re- instatement of excavated materials, as the surrounding environment would mitigate the ground gas / vapour risks associated with creating a preferential pathway along the length of the onshore cable corridors. |
| 2.12.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 19 Ground Conditions and Contamination | Potential impacts to human health, groundwater and surface water bodies | Embedded mitigation | The development of, and adherence to, a Code of Construction Practice (CoCP). The CoCP would be regularly reviewed and updated post consent, prior to and during the construction period. The CoCP would be informed by the findings of any pre-construction ground investigation and include an assessment of the potential risks to human health and controlled waters receptors posed by the construction of North Falls. Based on that risk assessment, appropriate working methods would be developed to avoid, minimise, or mitigate impacts relating to construction. |
| 2.12.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 19 Ground Conditions and Contamination | Potential contamination of surface water features | Embedded mitigation | Trenchless crossing techniques have been committed to where the cable corridors cross Main Rivers. This would minimise the potential for contamination (if present) from excavation works by limiting the |

Table 2.12 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to ground conditions and contamination

NorthFallsOffshore.com

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|---|---------------------|--|
| | | | | potential for contaminated materials to enter surface waters via surface run off. |
| 2.12.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 19 Ground Conditions and Contamination | Potential for contamination of groundwater and surface water bodies | Embedded mitigation | A CoCP would include specific measures that are protective of controlled waters in relation to the storage of fuels, oils, lubricants, wastewater, and other chemicals during the works. This would include: |
| | | | | Storing all fuels, oils, lubricants, wastewater, and other chemicals in impermeable bunds with at least 110% of the stored capacity, with any damaged containers being removed from onshore project area. Refuelling would take place in a dedicated impermeable area, using a bunded bowser. Biodegradable oils to be used where possible. Ensuring that spill kits are available on site at all times as well as sandbags and stop logs for deployment in case of emergency spillages. |
| 2.12.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 19 Ground Conditions and Contamination | Potential contamination of groundwater | Embedded mitigation | Ground investigations and a hydrogeological risk assessment meeting the requirements of the Environment Agency's approach to groundwater protection (Environment Agency, 2018), would be undertaken at each trenchless crossing location. |
| 2.12.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 19 Ground Conditions and Contamination | Potential impacts on human health | Embedded mitigation | Risk mitigation strategies protective of human health that are to be incorporated into the CoCP include: Use of appropriate Personal Protective Equipment (PPE); Provision of welfare facilities; Monitoring of works including air quality and odour; and Implementation of relevant good working practices including stockpile management and dust suppression activities to reduce the risk relating to the creation and inhalation of windblown dusts. The CoCP would incorporate legislation requirements including the Construction Design Management (CDM) |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|--|---------------------|--|
| | | | | Regulations (2015), Health and Safety at Work Act (1974) and Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) Regulations. |
| 2.12.8 | Volume 1, Chapter 19 Ground Conditions and Contamination | Encountering unexpected contamination | Embedded mitigation | A plan for dealing with unexpected contamination would be developed as part of the CoCP. This plan would also incorporate the Environment Agency best practice guidelines for pollution prevention which have been withdrawn from use but still provide a useful best practice guide and include: |
| | | | | Environment Agency Pollution Prevention Guidance (PPG) 01 – Understanding your environmental responsibilities; Environment Agency PPG 05 – Works and maintenance near water; |
| | | | | Environment Agency PPG 06 – Working at construction and demolition: preventing pollution guidance; |
| | | | | Environment Agency PPG 08 – Safe storage and disposal of used oils; Environment Agency PPG 21 – Pollution incident response planning; and |
| | | | | • Environment Agency PPG 22 –Dealing with spills. |
| 2.12.9 | Volume 1, Chapter 19 Ground Conditions and Contamination | Contaminated perched water and groundwater | Embedded mitigation | In areas that have been identified as potential areas of contamination within the PRA or encountered during construction works, perched waters within Made Ground or groundwater from dewatering activities would be collected within a tank or lagoon prior to any treatment or discharge. This wastewater shall either be: |
| | | | | Discharged to foul sewer under a trade effluent consent agreed with the local water company / supplier; and / or, |
| | | | | Discharged to surface water under an environmental permit issued by the Environment Agency. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | | |
|-----------------|---|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| 2.12.10 | Volume 1, Chapter 19 Ground Conditions and Contamination | Management of excavated soils | Embedded mitigation | Adoption of a Contaminated Land: Applications in Real Environments (CL:AIRE) Industry Code of Practice to manage the re-use and disposal of excavated soils within the onshore project area would also be incorporated as an additional mitigation measure in the CoCP, this would aid in maximising sustainability and provide an audit trail to demonstrate the appropriate use of materials. A Materials Management Plan (MMP) would be drafted in advance of any construction works, this would include chemical screening criteria in order to ensure that imported and / or reused materials are chemically suitable for use. If materials identified as containing asbestos are identified, then a specialist contractor would be employed to aid in its removal from onshore project area, in line with current legislation. | | |
| 2.12.11 | Volume 1, Chapter 19 Ground Conditions and Contamination | Site waste management | Embedded mitigation | A Site Waste Management Plan will be developed post-consent to ensure the proper handling and protocols are in place to deal with any generated wastes. | | |
| 2.12.12 | Volume 1, Chapter 19 Ground Conditions and Contamination | Mineral sterilisation | Additional mitigation | Consultation with the Essex Minerals and Waste Planning Authority with regards to the feasibility of mineral extraction prior to development. This would be supported by ground investigations prior to construction to help better determine the depth, accessibility and quality of the mineral resource and enable a quantification of the amount of the mineral that may be sterilised. A Mineral Resource Assessment would be undertaken in advance of construction if required, to provide an indication of the likely quality and extent of the mineral resource, the commercial viability of extraction and environmental impact. | | |
| Operation and n | Operation and maintenance | | | | | |
| 2.12.13 | Volume 1, Chapter 19 Ground Conditions and Contamination | Potential impacts on human health, groundwater and surface water bodies | Additional mitigation | Maintenance workers that are required to undertake ground excavation or maintenance works during the operation of North Falls would be provided with | | |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---|--|
| | | | | information regarding the nature of ground conditions within each area so that they can develop and implement site and task specific risk assessments and method statements. | |
| Decommissioning | 3 | | | | |
| None proposed | None proposed | | | | |
| Monitoring comm | Monitoring commitments | | | | |
| Groundwater and ground gas monitoring may be required as part of any pre-construction targeted ground investigations that may be required in order to determine the site characteristics of the onshore project area and if they pose a potential risk to human health, groundwater and surface water receptors. | | | | | |

2.13 Onshore air quality (Chapter 20)

Table 2.13 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to onshore air quality

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.13.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 20 Onshore Air Quality | Construction dust effects upon sensitive receptors | Embedded mitigation | The Project will commit to the implementation of best practice dust mitigation measures. |
| 2.13.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 20 Onshore Air Quality | Construction dust effects upon sensitive receptors | Embedded mitigation | Dust management mitigation measures will be outlined in the Project's Outline Code of Construction Practice (OcoCP) submitted as part of the Project's DCO application and will be secured within the final CoCP submitted post-consent. |
| 2.13.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 20 Onshore Air Quality | Construction dust effects upon sensitive receptors | Additional mitigation | Develop and implement a stakeholder communications plan that includes community engagement before work commences on site. Display the name and contact details of person(s) accountable for air quality and dust issues on the site boundary. This may be the environment manager/engineer or the site manager. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| | | | | Display the head or regional office contact information. |
| 2.13.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 20 Onshore Air Quality | Construction dust effects upon sensitive receptors | Additional mitigation | |
| | | | | and windowsills within 100m of site boundary, with cleaning to be provided if necessary. |
| | | | | Carry out regular site inspections to monitor compliance with the DMP, record inspection |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | results, and make an inspection log available to the local authority when asked. Increase the frequency of site inspections by the person accountable for air quality and dust issues on site when activities with a high potential to produce dust are being carried out and during prolonged dry or windy conditions. Plan site layout so that machinery and dust causing activities are located away from receptors, as far as is possible. Erect solid screens or barriers around dusty activities or the site boundary that are at least as high as any stockpiles on site. Fully enclose site or specific operations where there is a high potential for dust production and the site is active for an extensive period. Avoid site runoff of water or mud. Keep site fencing, barriers and scaffolding clean using wet methods. Remove materials that have a potential to produce dust from site as soon as possible, unless being re-used on site. If they are being reused on-site cover as described below. Manage stockpiles to prevent wind whipping. Ensure all vehicles switch off engines when stationary – no idling vehicles. Avoid the use of diesel or petrol powered generators and use mains electricity or battery powered equipment where practicable. Impose and signpost a maximum-speed-limit of 15mph on surfaced and 10mph on unsurfaced haul roads and work areas (if long haul routes are required these speeds may be increased with suitable additional control measures provided, subject to the approval of the nominated undertaker and with the agreement of the local authority, where appropriate). |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| | | | | Produce a Construction Logistics Plan to manage the sustainable delivery of goods and materials. Implement a Travel Plan that supports and encourages sustainable travel (public transport, cycling, walking, and car-sharing). Further details provided in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Only use cutting, grinding or sawing equipment fitted or in conjunction with suitable dust suppression techniques such as water sprays or local extraction, e.g., suitable local exhaust ventilation systems. Ensure an adequate water supply on the site for effective dust/particulate matter suppression/mitigation, using non-potable water where possible and appropriate. Use enclosed chutes and conveyors and covered skips. Minimise drop heights from handling equipment and use fine water sprays on such equipment wherever appropriate. Ensure equipment is readily available on site to clean any dry spillages and clean up spillages as soon as reasonably practicable after the event using wet cleaning methods. Avoid bonfires and burning of waste materials. |
| 2.13.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 20 Onshore Air Quality | Construction dust effects upon sensitive receptors | Additional mitigation | Ensure sand and other aggregates are stored in appropriate manner to minimise dust generation for example the use of bunded areas. Avoid scabbling (roughening of concrete surfaces) if possible. Ensure bulk cement and other fine powder materials are delivered in enclosed tankers and stored in silos with suitable emission control systems to prevent escape of material and overfilling during delivery. For smaller supplies of fine power materials ensure bags are sealed after use and stored appropriately to prevent dust. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| 2.13.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 20 Onshore Air Quality | Construction dust effects upon sensitive receptors | Additional mitigation | Manage earthworks and exposed areas/soil stockpiles to stabilise surfaces. Use Hessian, mulches or trackifiers where it is not possible to re-vegetate or cover with topsoil, as soon as practicable. |
| 2.13.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 20 Onshore Air Quality | Construction dust effects upon sensitive receptors | Additional mitigation | Use water-assisted dust sweeper(s) on the access and local roads, to remove, as necessary, any material tracked out of the site. Avoid dry sweeping of large areas. Ensure vehicles entering and leaving sites are covered to prevent escape of materials during transport. Inspect on-site haul routes for integrity and instigate necessary repairs to the surface as soon as reasonably practicable. Record all inspections of haul routes and any subsequent action in a site logbook. Install hard surfaced haul routes where practicable, which are regularly damped down with fixed or mobile sprinkler systems, or mobile water bowsers and regularly cleaned. Ensure there is an adequate area of hard surfaced road between the wheel wash facility and the site exit, wherever site size and layout permits. Locate access gates at least 10m from receptors where possible. |
| 2.13.8 | Volume 1, Chapter 20 Onshore Air Quality | Construction air quality effects arising from Non-Road Mobile Machinery (NNRM) | Embedded mitigation | Mitigation measures specific to Non-Road Mobile Machinery (NRMM) will be outlined within the Project's OcoCP submitted as part of the Project's DCO application and will be secured within the final CoCP submitted post-consent. NRMM and plant should be well maintained. If any emissions of dark smoke occur, then the relevant machinery should stop immediately, and any problem rectified. In addition, the following controls should apply to NRMM: |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | All NRMM should use fuel equivalent to ultralow sulphur diesel (fuel meeting the specification within EN590:2004) where practicable; All NRMM should comply with the appropriate NRMM regulations; All NRMM would be fitted with Diesel Particulate Filters (DPF) conforming to defined and demonstrated filtration efficiency (load/duty cycle permitting); The ongoing conformity of plant retrofitted with DPF, to a defined performance standard, should be ensured through a programme of onsite checks; and Fuel conservation measures should be implemented, including instructions to (i) throttle down or switch off idle construction equipment; (ii) switch off the engines of trucks while they are waiting to access the site and while they are being loaded or unloaded and (iii) ensure equipment is properly maintained to ensure efficient fuel consumption. Consideration will also be given to the siting of NRMM within the working area. Where practicable, locating generators and plant at the greatest distance from receptors will reduce the potential for air quality effects. |
| Operation and | maintenance | | | |
| None proposed | | | | |
| Decommission | ing | | | |
| None proposed | | | | |
| Monitoring con | nmitments | | | |
| None proposed | | | | |

2.14 Water resources and flood risk (Chapter 21)

Table 2.14 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to water resources and flood risk

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.14.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 21 Water Resources and Flood Risk | Effects on surface watercourse | Embedded mitigation | All Main Rivers will be crossed using trenchless techniques such as HDD to avoid direct interaction with these watercourses. Most Ordinary Watercourses will also be crossed using trenchless techniques. |
| 2.14.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 21 Water Resources and Flood Risk | Effects on surface watercourse | Embedded mitigation | Bailey bridges or similar may be used as options to traverse Main Rivers where direct access is not readily available from both sides. Culverts will not be used to cross Main Rivers. Selection of a crossing technique for Ordinary Watercourses not crossed using trenchless techniques will be dependent on local site conditions and may include the use of temporary culverts. Temporary culverts will be adequately sized to avoid impounding flows (including allowing for increased winter flows as a result of climate change). |
| 2.14.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 21 Water Resources and Flood Risk | Effects on surface watercourse | Embedded mitigation | Best practice measures at trenched crossings include: The amount of time that temporary dams are in place will be kept to a minimum; Prior to dewatering the area between the temporary dams, a fish rescue would be undertaken; Flumes or pumps would be adequately sized to ensure that flows downstream are maintained whilst minimising upstream impoundment; Scour protection would also be used to protect the river bed downstream of the dam from high energy flow at the outlets of flumes and pumps; and Sympathetic reinstatement of channel and banks. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|--|---|---------------------|--|
| 2.14.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 21 Water Resources and Flood Risk | Effects on agricultural drainage | Embedded mitigation | The Applicant will appoint a land drainage consultant to develop pre-and post-construction drainage plans. Additionally, land drainage systems will be maintained during construction and land drainage would be reinstated following completion of construction works during the reinstatement phase. An outline CoCP will be submitted with the DCO application and this will include outline soil management measures and outline the mitigation measures and best practice techniques, which contractors would be obliged to comply with. The DCO will contain a requirement to submit a final CoCP and Soil Management Plan (SMP) (which must be in accordance with the Outline CoCP) prior to commencement of construction. |
| 2.14.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 21 Water Resources and Flood Risk | Effects arising from sediment supply to watercourses | Embedded mitigation | Construction activities will adhere to industry good practice measures as detailed in the Environment Agency's PPG notes (PPG1, PPG5, PPG8 and PPG21). Although the Environment Agency's PPG notes have been revoked in England, they have been updated as Guidance for Pollution Prevention (GPP notes) for use in Scotland and Northern Ireland (NetRegs, 2022). Updates are included in the measures listed below. Construction Industry Research and Information Association (Construction Industry Research and Information Association (CIRIA)) best practice (Control of water pollution from construction sites: Guidance for consultants and contractors (C532) (2001)) will also be adhered to. Specific measures will potentially include: Minimising the amount of time stripped ground and soil stockpiles are exposed; Only removing vegetation from the area that needs to be exposed in the near future; Seeding or covering stockpiles; Using geotextile silt fencing at the toe of the slope, to reduce the movement of silt – this should be installed before soil stripping has begun and vehicles start tracking over the site; |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | On-site retention of sediment to be maximised by routing all drainage through the site drainage system; Include measures to intercept sediment runoff at source in the drainage system using suitable filters to remove sediment from water discharged to the surface drainage network; Plant and wheel washing is carried out in a designated area of hard standing at least 10mfrom any watercourse or surface water drain, rock outcrop (hard rock at surface) or karstic sinkhole; Traffic movements would be restricted to minimise surface disturbance; Collect run-off in lagoons and allow suspended solids to settle before disposal; Divert clean water away from the area of construction work in order to minimise the volume of contaminated water; and Routing the cable to avoid water resources and flood risk receptors where possible. In locations where large areas of exposed ground lie adjacent to watercourses, buffer strips of vegetation will be retained where possible to prevent runoff. Other embedded best practice measures include: Limiting the extent of open excavations along the onshore cable corridor to short sections at any one time (work fronts). Topsoil would be stripped from the entire width of the work front, then stored and capped to minimise erosion from wind and rain; and Temporary works areas (e.g., construction compounds and trenchless crossing areas) within the onshore project area may comprise hardstanding of permeable material, such as gravel aggregate or alternatively matting/timber or similar, underlain by geotextile or another suitable material to a |
| | | | | geotextile of another suitable material to a |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|--|---|---------------------|--|
| | | | | minimum of 50% of the exposed area. This would minimise the area of open ground. |
| 2.14.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 21 Water Resources and Flood Risk | Effects arising from supply of contaminants | Embedded mitigation | Specific measures relevant to the prevention of contaminant supply to water bodies will prevent the immediate discharge of contaminated water and sediment from the onshore cable corridor(s) into the surface drainage network, and will include: |
| | | | | Situating concrete and cement mixing and washing areas at least 10m away from the nearest water body. These areas will incorporate settlement and recirculation systems to allow water to be re-used. All washing out of equipment would take place in a contained area and the water collected for disposal off-site; Storing all fuels, oils, lubricants and other chemicals in impermeable bunds with at least 110% of the stored capacity, with any damaged containers being removed from site. Refuelling would take place in a dedicated impermeable area, using a bunded bowser, located at least 10m away from the nearest water body; Ensuring that spill kits are available on site at all times as well as sand bags and stop logs for deployment on the outlets from the site drainage system in case of emergency spillages; Foul drainage (e.g., from construction welfare facilities) will be collected through mains connection to an existing mains sewer (if such a connection is available) or collected in a septic tank located within the DCO order limits and transported off site for disposal at a licensed facility with appropriate treatment capacity within its existing permit; During construction, the onshore cable installation will be designed such that it will be bounded by parallel drainage channels (one on each side) to intercept drainage within the working width. Additional drainage channels will be installed to intercept water from the cable trench. This will be |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|--|---|---------------------|--|
| | | | | discharged at a controlled rate into local ditches or drains via temporary interceptor drains. Depending upon the precise location, water from the channels will be infiltrated or discharged into the existing drainage network; Construction drainage will be developed and implemented to minimise water within the cable trench and ensure ongoing drainage of surrounding land. If water enters the trenches during installation from surface runoff of groundwater seepage, this will be pumped via settling tanks, sediment basins or mobile treatment facilities to remove sediment, before being discharged into local ditches or drains will be reinstated following construction; Potential contaminants will be stored under cover to prevent rainwater carrying pollutants away; and Potential contaminants will be stored in a safe place away from vehicles, to prevent collisions. In addition, buffer strips of vegetation will be retained adjacent to water bodies where possible, to intercept any contaminated runoff. |
| 0.44.7 | Victoria d. Oberrian 04 Wictor | | | and water bodies, where it may be deeper. |
| 2.14.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 21 Water Resources and Flood Risk | Changes to surface and groundwater flows and flood risk | Embedded mitigation | Changes in surface water runoff resulting from the increase in impermeable area following construction of the onshore cable corridor(s), and particularly the onshore substation, would be attenuated and discharged at a controlled rate, in consultation with the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) (Essex County Council) and the Environment Agency, and potentially Anglian Water (if a connection to their drainage infrastructure is required during construction of the onshore substation). An Operational Surface Water and Drainage Plan will be developed in |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | consultation with the relevant regulators and approved by the relevant planning authority. As described above for watercourse crossings, the Applicant will appoint a land drainage consultant to develop pre-and post-construction drainage plans. Land drainage systems will be maintained during construction and land drainage would be reinstated following completion of construction works during the reinstatement phase. An outline CoCP including outline soil management measures will be submitted with the DCO and the DCO will contain a requirement to submit a final CoCP and SMP prior to commencement of construction. During construction, the onshore cable installation would be designed such that it will be bounded by parallel drainage channels (one on each side) to intercept drainage within the working width. Additional drainage channels would be installed to intercept water from the cable trench. This would be discharged at a controlled rate into local ditches or drains via temporary interceptor drains. Depending upon the precise location, water from the channels would be infiltrated or discharged into the existing drainage network. Construction drainage would be developed and implemented to minimise water within the cable trench and ensure ongoing drainage of surrounding land. If water enters the trenches during installation from surface runoff of groundwater seepage, this would be pumped via settling tanks, sediment basins or mobile treatment facilities to remove sediment, before being discharged into local ditches or drains. Kater define and ensure output and and trainage of surrounding land. If water enters the trenches during installation from surface runoff of groundwater seepage, this would be pumped via settling tanks, sediment basins or mobile treatment facilities to remove sediment, before being discharged into local ditches or drains via temporary interceptor drains. Existing land drains would be reinstated following construction. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | |
|------------------------|--|---|---------------------|---|--|
| 2.14.8 | Volume 1, Chapter 21 Water Resources and Flood Risk | Groundwater quality and abstractions for public water supply | Embedded mitigation | The cable corridor has been developed to avoid interaction with Groundwater Source Protection Zone 1, and therefore minimise the potential for impact on abstractions for public water supply. Ground investigations and a hydrogeological risk assessment meeting the requirements of The Environment Agency's Approach to Groundwater Protection (Environment Agency, 2018), will be undertaken at each HDD crossing location. A written scheme dealing with contamination of any land and groundwater will be submitted and approved by the relevant planning authority before construction activities commence. | |
| Operation and | maintenance | | | | |
| 2.14.9 | Volume 1, Chapter 21 Water Resources and Flood Risk | Effects arising from supply of contaminants | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.14.6 | |
| 2.14.10 | Volume 1, Chapter 21 Water Resources and Flood Risk | Changes to surface and groundwater flows and flood risk | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.14.7 | |
| 2.14.11 | Volume 1, Chapter 21 Water Resources and Flood Risk | Groundwater quality and abstractions for public water supply | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.14.8 | |
| Decommission | ing | ÷ | | | |
| None proposed | | | | | |
| Monitoring commitments | | | | | |
| | As there are designated sites within the onshore project area (e.g., Holland Haven Marshes Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)) water quality monitoring may be required. | | | | |

As there are designated sites within the onshore project area (e.g., Holland Haven Marshes Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)) water quality monitoring may be required. Parameters would be agreed with the Environment Agency but may include a range of metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), petroleum hydrocarbons and general inorganics (pH, biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), dissolved oxygen, suspended and dissolved solids).

2.15 Land use and agriculture (Chapter 22)

Table 2.15 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to land use and agriculture

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.15.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 22 Land Use and Agriculture | Effects on agricultural drainage | Embedded mitigation | The Applicant will appoint a land drainage consultant to develop pre-and post-construction drainage plans. Additionally, land drainage systems will be maintained during construction and land drainage would be reinstated following completion of construction works during the reinstatement phase. An OcoCP will be submitted with the DCO application and this will include outline soil management measures and outline the mitigation measures and best practice techniques, which contractors would be obliged to comply with. The DCO will contain a requirement to submit a final CoCP and SMP (which must be in accordance with the OcoCP) prior to commencement of construction. |
| 2.15.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 22 Land Use and Agriculture | Temporary loss of agricultural land | Embedded mitigation | Wherever practicable, access to severed land for farm vehicles will be maintained. Where necessary and feasible, crossing points would be agreed with landowners and occupiers by the Agricultural Liaison Officer (ALO) pre-construction. Where practicable and in order to reduce impacts on agricultural productivity, the planning and timings of works will be discussed with landowners and occupiers. The land will be reinstated to pre-construction condition and where this is not possible the Project will seek to reach private agreements (or pay compensation in line with the relevant statutory legislation) with relevant landowners/occupiers. Habitat reinstatement method statements will be implemented for all habitats reinstated following the completion of construction (including semi-improved grassland, hedgerows and arable field margins). |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|-------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 2.15.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 22 Land Use and Agriculture | Loss of soil to erosion | Embedded mitigation | Measures set out in the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF) (2000) Good Practice Guide for Handling Soils and Defra (2009) Construction code of practice for the Sustainable Use of Soils on Construction Sites will be adopted and included in the CoCP and SMP, including: |
| | | | | Consider the weather conditions where it is appropriate to work for each soil type; Store soil appropriately; Ensure effective drainage systems are used during construction; Reinstate drainage systems following construction; Reinstate and plant vegetation following completion of the construction works; and Produce a SMP outlining the mitigation measures |
| | | | | and best practise techniques, which contractors would be obliged to comply with. |
| 2.15.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 22 Land Use and Agriculture | Soil degradation | Embedded mitigation | Mitigation measures to further reduce the effect of the construction activities include developing a SMP which will set out procedures for the appropriate handling of soils during the construction works, including: |
| | | | | Using a competent contractor for soil handling, storage and reinstatement under Defra (2009) Construction code of practice for the Sustainable Use of Soils on Construction Sites; Storing topsoil adjacent to where it is stripped, where practicable; |
| | | | | Seeding of topsoil bund with clover mix to fix nutrients and keep the soil live, therefore limiting soil loss and requirement for significant inputs when reinstated; |
| | | | | Storage of the excavated subsoil separately from the topsoil, with sufficient separation to ensure segregation; Handling of soils according to their characteristics; |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | | | | Limiting mechanised soil handling in areas where soils are highly vulnerable to compaction during wet weather; Restricting movements of heavy plant and vehicles to specified routes; and Minimise excavation footprint as much as reasonably possible. |
| 2.15.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 22 Land Use and Agriculture | Effects on agri-environmental schemes | Embedded mitigation | The embedded mitigation relating to Agri-environment schemes will be the avoidance of land parcels that are subject to Environmental Stewardship Schemes or Countryside Stewardship Schemes, wherever possible. However, where impacts to land subject to an agri- environment agreement cannot be avoided, these will be dealt with through the Rural Payments Agency, including compensation provisions to reimburse a landowner's financial losses where appropriate. |
| 2.15.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 22 Land Use and Agriculture | Interference with utilities | Additional mitigation | Protective provisions and/or side agreements will be agreed with affected utilities as part of the DCO application process. North Falls will undertake utility crossings or diversions in accordance with the appropriate industry standards for such crossings. |
| Decommissioni | ng | | | |
| None proposed | | | | |
| Monitoring commitments | | | | |
| None proposed | | | | |

2.16 Onshore ecology (Chapter 23)

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.16.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Ecology | Effects on ecological receptors | Embedded mitigation | Prior to works commencing, North Falls will prepare an Ecological Management Plan (EMP) setting out full details of the ecological mitigation measures which will be adhered to during the Project's construction. This will include: A programme of works; A list of roles and responsibilities for ecological mitigation, including the role of an ecological clerk of works (EcoW); A plan showing ecological constraints; Full details of best practice mitigation required in relation to all species and habitats affected by the Project; Full details of any project-specific mitigation identified within this chapter, including habitat creation or protected species mitigation programmes. Any such programmes will be accompanied by mitigation layout plans; A list of protected species licences and site consents required to facilitate construction; Habitat reinstatement method statements for all habitats proposed to be reinstated following the completion of construction (including grassland, hedgerows, watercourses and arable field margins – see below); Any associated standalone mitigation plans, e.g. reptile precautionary method of works, invasive species management plan, etc. as required |

Table 2.16 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to onshore ecology

NorthFallsOffshore.com

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | | | ecological mitigation requirements identified within the ES that must be incorporated into the EMP for delivery during the Project's construction. The OLEMS will act as the single source for all ecological mitigation measures proposed within the ES. It will also include identification of the amount of biodiversity units the project proposes to create as part of NFOW's commitment to a minimum of 10% biodiversity net gain. |
| 2.16.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Ecology | Effect on ecological receptors | Embedded mitigation | The EMP will include details of best practice for minimising impact to notable habitats and legally protected and notable species, including (but not limited to): Avoid sensitive times of the year for construction activities, including: Avoid undertaking vegetation removal during the bird nesting season (March – August inclusive, although weather dependent). Where this cannot be achieved, a pre-construction check of all nesting habitat is required no more than 48 hours prior to removal. Should a nest be found, a buffer zone (minimum 5m) around the nest must be created, and no works must be undertaken within the buffer zone until the young have fledged. This mitigation also applies to suitable habitat for ground nesting birds. Avoid undertaking above ground vegetation removal e.g. roots and coppice stools during the reptile hibernation period (November – February inclusive) wherever possible. If not possible, above ground vegetation identified as suitable to support reptiles removed during the reptile active period must be done so whilst adhering to a precautionary method of working (PMoW) for reptiles, supervised by a suitably qualified ecologist. A precautionary methodology for |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | | | vegetation removal will involve cutting vegetation to a minimum height of 150mm, allowing reptiles to vacate the area, allowing an ecologist to search for any reptiles, then once cleared further cutting can take place. For any reptiles found during construction, a suitable translocation area will be decided upon to re-release the reptiles away from construction activities. Undertaking pre-construction checks of all habitats identified of being of conservation importance prior to works, to ensure that the ecological constraints identified prior to consent have not changed. Ensuring security lighting used during construction adheres as far as possible to accepted lighting guidance (Bat Conservation Trust (BCT) and Institute of Lighting Professionals (ILP), 2018), This will include the following measures: Ensure lighting is cowled and angled downwards and does not shine directly on sensitive habitats; Ensure lighting is motion activated to minimise unnecessary lighting; Ensuring best practice pollution prevention measures are adhered to at all times to minimise the risk of pollutant release to sensitive habitats. Best Practical Means (BPM) to be employed during construction to limit dust, odour, and exhaust emissions during construction works, to reduce potential effects upon air quality-sensitive habitat. |
| 2.16.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Ecology | Effects on ecological receptors | Embedded mitigation | North Falls has committed to seeking to use trenchless techniques (e.g. HDD) where possible at all key sensitive linear features, including the following: |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | | | All 'important' hedgerows, and those hedgerows potentially suitable for supporting dormice and/or commuting / foraging bats; Main rovers and watercourses potentially suitable for supporting water voles / otters; Veteran trees; Woodland UK Habitat of Principal Importance (UKHPI); Ponds UKHPI. |
| 2.16.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Ecology | Effects on ecological receptors | Embedded mitigation | At all trenched watercourse crossings, best practice measures will be in place to minimise disturbance of the beds, banks and downstream habitats: The amount of time that any temporary dams are in place will be kept to a minimum; Prior to dewatering the area between any temporary dams, a fish rescue would be undertaken; Flumes or pumps would be adequately sized to ensure that flows downstream are maintained whilst minimising upstream impoundment; Scour protection would also be used to protect the river bed downstream of the dam from high energy flow at the outlets of flumes and pumps; and Sympathetic reinstatement of channel and banks. |
| 2.16.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Ecology | Effects on hedgerows | Embedded mitigation | NFOW have committed to reduce the onshore cable route working width to 30m at hedgerow crossings where open cut trenching is proposed, to minimise the amount of hedgerow removal required. This will be achieved by not including the topsoil/subsoil storage bunds in the cable corridor working width at hedgerow crossings. Hedgerows will be replanted following construction but note that canopy tree species cannot be replanted within 6m of the buried cables, which will restrict tree planting for a 37m swathe during hedgerow reinstatement (as the maximum width of |

| Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | hedgerow removal is 30m, in practice this restriction will only apply for a maximum 30m swathe). Hedgerow planting would be undertaken in the first winter season following construction. |
| Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Ecology | Effects on habitats | Embedded mitigation | All habitats subject to temporary disturbance during construction, will be reinstated in full following the completion of construction. The specific details of the reinstatement will be set out within the EMP for each habitat. The following core principles for habitat reinstatement would be included within the EMP: |
| | | | Grassland habitats All topsoil stripped in grassland areas would be stored separately and reinstated following the completion of construction. Topsoil storage would be subject to a Soil Management Plan (secured through a DCO Requirement), which would also detail measures for soil storage and handling. Grassland reseeding would be undertaken using a local seed mix, to be agreed in advance with Natural England and Essex Wildlife Trust. |
| | | | Trees and hedgerows As advised by Essex County Council during the Evidence Plan Process (EPP), all tree and shrub planting undertaken by NFOW will be subject to an up to 10 year after care period. |
| | | | As advised by Natural England during the EPP, all hedgerows within the onshore project area not removed for construction to be allowed (where possible) to thicken up during construction and operation to facilitate use as feeding and commuting corridors for wildlife. |
| | | | All reinstated hedgerows will be replanted using locally important and native species, as advised by Essex Wildlife Trust and following the Essex Hedgerow Local Biodiversity Action Plan (LBAP). Arable field margins |
| | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Effects on habitats | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Effects on habitats Embedded mitigation |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|----------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | If landowner permission can be reached, this habitat will be reinstated in consultation with Essex Wildlife Trust and the local landowner to ensure the optimum benefits can be gained from each margin affected. Prior to construction, the arable field margins will be re-surveyed to assess their conservation value. Attempts will then be made to ensure habitat reinstatement takes the form of one of the following (Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC), 2008): |
| | | | | Cultivated, low-input margins (land managed specifically to create habitat for annual arable plants); Margins sown to provide seed for wild birds (margins or blocks sown with plants that are allowed to set seed and which remain in place over the winter); Margins sown with wild flowers or agricultural legumes and managed to allow flowering to provide pollen and nectar resources for invertebrates; |
| | | | | Margins providing permanent, grass strips with mixtures of tussocky and fine-leaved grasses. |
| 2.16.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Ecology | Effects on hedgerows | | Mitigation measures employed in relation to hedgerows include: |
| | | | | Haul roads will be microsited to use existing hedgerow gaps where possible during the Project's detailed design; Hedgerow replanting will be undertaken in the first season following the completion of construction. Hedgerows will be replanted using locally important and native species as advised by Essex Wildlife Trust and following the Essex Hedgerow LBAP; and All hedgerow sections permanently removed at the onshore substation would be replaced as part of the Project's landscaping scheme. The details of the outline scheme will be prepared and presented as part of the ES. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------------------|--|----------------------|--|---|
| Reference 2.16.8 | Cross reference to PEIR Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Ecology | Effects on bats | Mitigation type Additional mitigation | Mitigation and monitoring commitments Hedgerow removal will be programmed for winter to give bats time to adjust to the change prior to the maternity period. Hedgerows will be removed in the preceding winter as close to the onset of works as possible, and works will not commence after nights of poor weather (in case of bad weather roosts being used). Hedgerow replanting will follow in the first winter after construction, with the exception of the 6m gap required for the haul road, which will be replanted following the completion of onshore construction (i.e. after at most 18 months). Replanting will follow guidance to encourage insect biomass (BCT, 2016a). Future hedgerow management to include allowing standard trees to develop during the period of aftercare (up to 10 years) to improve quality of the hedgerow as a foraging resource. The Project will seek to retain as many mature trees as possible given the benefits they provide within linear commuting / foraging features. Additionally, if any new features identified as supporting bats require removal this will be completed under a Natural England European Protected Species (EPS) mitigation licence. Confirmed roosting sites that cannot be retained will be removed pre-construction, in line with the EPS mitigation licence method statement and BCT best practice guidelines: gently taking down the structure in sections and leaving them on the ground for 24 hours to allow any bats to vacate the feature(s). |
| | | | | Where roosts of low conservation significance are lost to the Project, bat boxes will be installed as mitigation (Bat Conservation Trust, 2016). The type of bat box needed will depend on the species found in the onshore project area, and these will be determined once bat field surveys have been concluded. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 2.16.9 | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Ecology | Effects on water voles and otters | Additional mitigation | It is considered that the least impactful option for water voles would be to manage the risk of HDD breakout through the Contingency Plan, rather than to displace water voles unnecessarily. |
| 2.16.10 | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Ecology | Effects on water voles and otters | Additional mitigation | A pre-construction survey will be undertaken prior to construction to confirm presence of water voles and otters within the onshore project area. If no field signs of water voles or otters are found within 50m of the onshore project area, no specific water vole or otter mitigation will be required. If the presence of water voles or otter holts is confirmed, then mitigation under the appropriate licence regime will be agreed with Natural England. |
| 2.16.11 | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Ecology | Effects on water voles and otters | Additional mitigation | Wherever practicable, night-time working near watercourses will be avoided or else minimised to reduce indirect impacts of light and noise on water voles and otters. |
| 2.16.12 | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Ecology | Effects on water voles and otters | Additional mitigation | Exit ramps from excavations will be provided at night near watercourses with confirmed presence of otters, to provide them with a means of escape. |
| 2.16.13 | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Ecology | Effects on great crested newts | Additional mitigation | North Falls propose to ensure appropriate mitigation for impacts upon great crested newts through Natural England's District Level Licensing (DLL) scheme for Essex. It is proposed that NFOW will enter into the scheme in advance of DCO submission, with a formal submission for a DLL being made post-consent. |
| 2.16.14 | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Ecology | Effects on reptiles | Additional mitigation | For those habitat mosaics which support 'good' populations of reptiles, which are potentially directly affected during construction, a reptile translocation programme will be undertaken where necessary. This will be included in the EMP and supervised by an ECoW. The translocation programme will follow Natural England's Standing Advice on reptiles (Natural England, 2022) and Herpetofauna Worker's Manual (Gent and Gibson, 2003). It will involve undertaking pre-construction surveys to understand the current |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| | | | | population size / distribution, identifying a suitable translocation site which provides the correct habitat features for the population to be translocated and undertaking an appropriate duration of trapping days (to be specified following the pre-construction surveys). Once trapping is complete the site will be cleared using a PMoW to minimise potential impacts upon any remaining individuals. | |
| 2.16.15 | Volume 1, Chapter 23 Onshore Ecology | Effects on hazel dormice | Additional mitigation | For the six hedgerows where small-scale hedgerow removal is required, localised translocation programmes will be the hedgerow is recommended to be cleared as part of a 'persuasion' methodology (i.e, to persuade any dormice present to leave the area of their own accord): clearance in summer is acceptable, with small amounts taken out each day to allow individuals time to escape, and a search should be made for nests during clearance works. The best times for this work are May and late September, when there is less likelihood of young being present in nests (Bright, Morris and Mitchell-Jones, 2006). These will be undertaken under a EPS licence and under an agreed method statement advance of works, and then subject to hedgerow reinstatement and enhancement following works Where possible, additional feeding sites and nesting boxes could be installed in hedgerows and woodland edges outside of the onshore project area, to accommodate for any hazel dormice disturbed by noise (Bright, Morris and Mitchell-Jones, 2006). | |
| Operation and maintenance | | | | | |
| None proposed | | | | | |
| Decommissioni | ng | | | | |
| None proposed | | | | | |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Monitoring commitments | | | | | | | |
| Monitoring of populations of local protected and notable species may need to be carried out to ensure there is no significant effects on local populations or conservation status. Such species may include, but not be limited to, water vole, badger, hazel dormice and great crested newts. | | | | | | | |
| | Furthermore, habitat creation proposals, particularly around the substation, will be managed and monitored under an OLEMS which would be submitted as part of the DCO application and finalised before construction. | | | | | | |

2.17 Onshore ornithology (Chapter 24)

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.17.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 24 Onshore Ornithology | Effects on ornithological receptors | Embedded mitigation | Prior to works commencing, North Falls will prepare an EMP setting out full details of the ecological and ornithological mitigation measures which will be adhered to during the Project's construction. This will include: A programme of works; A list of roles and responsibilities for ecological mitigation, including the role of an ECoW; A plan showing ecological and ornithological constraints; Full details of best practice mitigation required in relation to all species and habitats affected by the Project; Full details of any project-specific mitigation identified within this chapter, including habitat creation or species-specific mitigation programmes. Any such programmes will be accompanied by mitigation layout plans; A list of protected species licences and site consents required to facilitate construction; |

Table 2.17 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to onshore ornithology

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|---|---------------------|--|
| | | | | Habitat reinstatement method statements for all habitats proposed to be reinstated following the completion of construction (including grassland, hedgerows, watercourses and arable field margins – see below); Any associated standalone mitigation plans as required. The EMP will include details of best practice for minimising impact to notable habitats and legally protected and notable species. |
| 2.17.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 24 Onshore Ornithology | Effects on ornithological receptors | Embedded mitigation | As part of the Project's DCO application, an OLEMS will be submitted which will set out the ecological and ornithological mitigation requirements identified within the ES that must be incorporated into the EMP and into the Written Landscaping Scheme for delivery during the Project's construction, and operation where relevant. |
| 2.17.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 24 Onshore Ornithology | Effects on habitats which support ornithological receptors | Embedded mitigation | The EMP will include details of best practice for minimising impact to notable habitats and legally protected and notable species, including (but not limited to): Avoiding undertaking vegetation removal during the bird nesting season (March – August inclusive, although weather dependent). Where this cannot be achieved, a pre-construction check of all nesting habitat is required no more than 48 hours prior to removal. Should a nest be found, a buffer zone (minimum 5m) around the nest must be created, and no works must be undertaken within the buffer zone until the young have fledged. This mitigation also applies to suitable habitat for ground nesting birds. Undertaking pre-construction checks of all habitats prior to works, to ensure that the ecological constraints identified prior to consent have not changed. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|---|---------------------|--|
| | | | | Ensuring security lighting used during construction adheres as far as practicable to accepted lighting guidance (Bat Conservation Trust (BCT) and Institute of Lighting Professionals (ILP), 2018), This will include the following measures: Ensure lighting is cowed and angled downwards and does not shine directly on sensitive habitats; Ensure lighting is motion activated to minimise unnecessary lighting; Ensuring best practice pollution prevention measures are adhered to at all times to minimise the risk of pollutant release to sensitive habitats. Best Practical Means (BPM) to be employed during construction to limit dust, odour, and exhaust emissions during construction works, to reduce potential effects upon air quality-sensitive habitat. All habitats temporarily disturbed during construction. |
| 2.17.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 24 Onshore Ornithology | Effects on habitats which support ornithological receptors | Embedded mitigation | The following core principles for habitat reinstatement would be included within the EMP: Grassland habitats All topsoil stripped in grassland areas would be stored separately and reinstated following the completion of construction. Topsoil storage would be subject to a Soil Management Plan (secured through a DCO Requirement), which would also detail measures for soil storage and handling. Grassland reseeding would be undertaken using a local seed mix, to be agreed in advance with Natural England and Essex Wildlife Trust. Trees and hedgerows As advised by Essex County Council during the EPP, all tree and shrub planting undertaken by NFOW will be subject to an up to 10 year after care period. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| | | | | As advised by Natural England during the EPP, all hedgerows within the onshore project area not removed for construction to be allowed (where possible) to thicken up during construction and operation to facilitate use as feeding and commuting corridors for wildlife. |
| | | | | All reinstated hedgerows will be replanted using locally important and native species, as advised by Essex Wildlife Trust and following the Essex Hedgerow LBAP. |
| | | | | Arable field margins |
| | | | | If landowner permission can be reached, this habitat will be reinstated in consultation with Essex Wildlife Trust and the local landowner to ensure the optimum benefits can be gained from each margin affected. Prior to construction, the arable field margins will be re-surveyed to assess their conservation value. Attempts will then be made to ensure habitat reinstatement takes the form of one of the following (Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC), 2008): |
| | | | | Cultivated, low-input margins (land managed specifically to create habitat for annual arable plants); Margins sown to provide seed for wild birds (margins or blocks sown with plants that are allowed to set seed and which remain in place over the winter); Margins sown with wild flowers or agricultural backs and which remain to an endemand on the allowed to allow flow for a set of the allowed to allow flow flow flow flow flow flow flow |
| | | | | legumes and managed to allow flowering to provide pollen and nectar resources for invertebrates; Margins providing permanent, grass strips with mixtures of tussocky and fine-leaved grasses. |
| 2.17.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 24 Onshore Ornithology | Effects on ornithological receptors | Embedded mitigation | North Falls has committed to seeking to use trenchless techniques where practicable at all key sensitive linear features, including the following: |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|---|---------------------|--|
| | | | | All 'important' hedgerows and those hedgerows potentially suitable for supporting dormice or commuting / foraging bats; Main rivers and watercourses potentially suitable for supporting water voles / otters; Veteran trees; Woodland UKHPI; Ponds UKHPI. |
| 2.17.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 24 Onshore Ornithology | Effects on hedgerows | Embedded mitigation | NFOW have committed to reduce the onshore cable route working width to 37m at hedgerow crossings where open cut trenching is proposed, to minimise the amount of hedgerow removal required. This will be achieved by not including the topsoil / subsoil storage bunds in the cable route working width at hedgerow crossings. Hedgerows will be replanted following construction but note that canopy tree species cannot be replanted within 6m of the buried cables, which will restrict canopy tree planting for a 37m swathe during hedgerow reinstatement. Hedgerow planting would be undertaken in the first winter season following construction, to speed establishment. |
| 2.17.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 24 Onshore Ornithology | Effects on habitats which support ornithological receptors | Embedded mitigation | At all trenched watercourse crossings, best practice measures will be in place to minimise disturbance of the beds, banks and downstream habitats: The amount of time that any temporary dams are in place will be kept to a minimum; Prior to dewatering the area between any temporary dams, a fish rescue would be undertaken; Flumes or pumps would be adequately sized to ensure that flows downstream are maintained whilst minimising upstream impoundment; Scour protection would also be used to protect the river bed downstream of any dam from high energy flow at the outlets of flumes and pumps; and |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | | | | • Sympathetic reinstatement of channel and banks. |
| 2.17.8 | Volume 1, Chapter 24 Onshore Ornithology | Effects on Holland Haven Marshes SSSI | Embedded mitigation | An Outline HDD Method and Draft 'Break-out' Contingency Plan will be submitted with the Project's DCO application to provide assurance that reasonable steps will be taken to minimise the risk of effects arising upon interest features of the Holland Haven Marshes SSSI as a result of 'break-out' during the landfall HDD beneath the SSSI. |
| 2.17.9 | Volume 1, Chapter 24 Onshore Ornithology | Effects on ornithological receptors | Additional mitigation | Soft landscaping works within the onshore substation zone will be sympathetic for the habitat requirements of grey partridge, by considering the provision of hedgerows and tree planting with thick, grassy cover on low banks for nesting and semi-improved grassland for chick-rearing. Additional mitigation for corn bunting within the onshore substation zone will be identified, such as deliberately creating patches of denser sward away from crop edges, providing sown arable field margins to provide foraging habitat, and erecting song posts in suitable locations. For barn owl, a number of nest boxes are located within and surrounding the Holland Haven Marshes SSSI. Occupancy and breeding success of these is likely to have reduced over time due to the deterioration of the wood constructions and occupation by jackdaws in some of them. Effort would be made in consultation with the Essex Wildlife Trust, Tendring District Council and Natural England, to repair or replace existing nest boxes, or add new ones in suitable locations across the onshore project area to help mitigate the possible reduction in productivity during the construction period. |
| 2.17.10 | Volume 1, Chapter 24 Onshore Ornithology | Effects on ornithological receptors | Additional mitigation | Attempts will be made to avoid work in any land identified as potentially important for SSSI wader populations during key periods of the non-breeding season, and reducing the amount of time that any such land is subject to habitat loss. Reinstatement will |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| | | | | be prioritised following completion of construction in that area. |
| Operation and | maintenance | | | |
| 2.17.11 | Volume 1, Chapter 24 Onshore Ornithology | Effects on ornithological receptors | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.17.9 |
| 2.17.12 | Volume 1, Chapter 24 Onshore Ornithology | Effects on ornithological receptors | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.17.8 and 2.17.10 |
| 2.17.13 | Volume 1, Chapter 24 Onshore Ornithology | Effects on Holland Haven Marshes SSSI | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.17.8 |
| Decommission | ning | | | |
| None proposed | | | | |
| Monitoring co | nmitments | | | |
| Monitoring Important Ornithological Features (IOF) breeding populations will be undertaken by the ECoW or a qualified ornithologist during construction phase as part of the EMP, to ensure legal compliance with the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). It is also anticipated that, depending on the final location of project infrastructure and in the unlikely event HDD works at landfall are undertaken during winter months, monitoring of the Holland Haven Marshes SSSI non-breeding bird assemblages may be undertaken to ensure that there are no significant construction disturbance effects. Similarly, if onshore cable works take place during the non-breeding season within an area of potential disturbance from Hamford Water SSSI, then monitoring would take place to ensure no significant disturbance to the non-breeding bird assemblage. Any habitat creation (e.g. associated with the onshore substation) and reinstatement will require monitoring and maintenance otherwise habitat quality may degrade and negate the original intended mitigation role of the habitats. Such management strategies would be highlighted in the EMP. | | | | |

2.18 Onshore archaeology and cultural heritage (Chapter 25)

Table 2.18 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to onshore archaeology and cultural heritage

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|--|--|---------------------|---|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.18.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 25 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Effects on onshore archaeological and cultural heritage features | Embedded mitigation | The Project will submit an Outline WSI as part of the ES to accompany the DCO application. This document will outline the strategy to undertake additional programmes of survey and evaluation post-consent, |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|--|---|-----------------------|--|
| | | | | and will include a range of likely mitigation options and responses to be utilised under various scenarios. Archaeological mitigation is envisaged to comprise a combination of the following recognised standard approaches: Further advance and enacting of preservation in situ options and requirements (e.g., avoidance / micro-siting / HDD etc., where possible); Archaeological excavation: including subsequent post-excavation assessment, and analysis, publication and archiving; Archaeological monitoring / watching brief, including subsequent post-excavation and analysis, publication and archiving; Archaeological monitoring / watching brief, including subsequent post-excavation assessment, and analysis, publication and archiving (where appropriate); and Earthwork condition surveys: including subsequent reporting and archiving (followed by backfilling and reinstatement, where required on a case-by-case basis). |
| 2.18.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 25 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Effects on geoarchaeological / palaeoenvironmental remains | Additional mitigation | Further evaluation of potential geoarchaeological / palaeoenvironmental remains is likely to include a programme of geoarchaeological monitoring of engineering-led ground investigation (GI) works to inform mitigation approaches such as geoarchaeological assessment and palaeoenvironmental survey. |
| 2.18.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 25 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Effects on Historic Landscape Character | Additional mitigation | Impact to the Historic Landscape Character (including hedgerows and parish boundaries) will be minimised by returning field boundaries / areas / hedgerows to their pre-construction condition and character post- construction, as part of a sensitive programme of backfilling and reinstatement / landscaping. Certain hedgerows and field boundaries (e.g., parish boundaries) may require recording prior to the construction process and enhanced provisions made during backfilling and reinstatement. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------------|--|--|-----------------------|---|
| 2.18.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 25 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Effects on onshore archaeological and cultural heritage features | Additional mitigation | The optimum mitigation measure is preservation in situ, wherever possible. By avoiding sub-surface archaeological remains (sites / features), either largely or in their entirety (as indicated by existing and available data), the magnitude of impact may be reduced depending on the extent of the site / feature in question (with reference to change or impact upon heritage significance) and the degree to which preservation in situ has been applied. Where avoidance is not possible, significant impacts upon sub-surface archaeological remains may potentially, to a degree, be offset by the application of appropriate alternative mitigation measures which serve to preserve archaeological remains, where present, by record (e.g., following intrusive evaluation and subsequent excavation, where required). Although preservation by record cannot be considered to reduce the magnitude of impact (and associated significance of effect) per se, given the physical loss of a given site / feature, the acquisition of a robust archaeological record of a site / feature may be considered to adequately compensate identified, recognised and acceptable harm to a heritage asset in line with industry standard good practice mitigation measures. |
| Operation and n | naintenance | | 1 | |
| 2.18.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 25 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Effects on setting of designated heritage assets | Additional mitigation | The onshore substation will be designed to reduce the overall height and massing of associated structures and other elements as far as possible. Landscape proposals will include measures for the enhancement of local biodiversity during the operational phase of the onshore substation. This will include landscape screening of the onshore substation through hedgerow and woodland planting. Once matured, this will help to integrate the onshore substation into the existing landscape of arable fields and boundary trees/hedgerows. Further detail on the principles of mitigation are set out above. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------|---|--|
| 2.18.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 25 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Effects on setting of designated heritage assets | Additional mitigation | The layout of the offshore wind turbines will be designed appropriately to minimise visual effects, taking into account other constraints such as ecological effects, safety reasons or engineering and design parameters. The final design of North Falls will be confirmed through detailed engineering design studies that will be undertaken post-consent based on the findings of pre-construction surveys. | |
| Decommissioni | ng | | | | |
| None proposed | | | | | |
| Monitoring com | Monitoring commitments | | | | |
| Monitoring requirements for onshore archaeology would be described in the Outline WSI (Onshore) submitted alongside the DCO application and further developed and agreed with stakeholders prior to construction taking account of the final detailed design of North Falls. | | | | | |
| Direct (physical) impacts would be offset or reduced through either preservation in situ or archaeological fieldwork and reporting, undertaken by professional archaeologists and monitored by Essex County Council Historic Environment Service (Place Services) on behalf of Tendring District Council. | | | | | |

2.19 Noise and vibration (Chapter 26)

Table 2.19 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to noise and vibration

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|--|--|---------------------|---|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.19.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration | Effects on noise sensitive receptors | Embedded mitigation | Commitment to Best Practicable Means (BPM) implemented during the construction phase, detailed in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (CNVMP) which will be included in the CoCP secured through a DCO Requirement. An Outline CoCP will be submitted with the DCO application. |
| 2.19.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration | Effects on vibration sensitive receptors | Embedded mitigation | Construction plant with the potential to generate high levels of vibration (piling and vibratory ground |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | | | compaction) will not be used at locations within 8m of any vibration sensitive structure. |
| 2.19.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration | Effects on noise sensitive receptors | Embedded mitigation | The following mitigation measures will be considered and included in the CNVMP, where applicable and practicable: Limiting working hours to avoid the most noisesensitive times such as weekends; Selection of quieter plant, equipment or working methods; Use of additional silencers and/or enclosures around noisy equipment; Reduced numbers of plant during sensitive periods; Increased separation distance between works and Noise and Vibration Sensitive Receptors (NVSRs); Interspersing of noisy works between quieter works to provide periods of respite; Phasing of the works to ensure that the noisiest operations are performed during the least sensitive times and vice-versa; and Review of the construction programme to minimise the duration of the works at the closest approach to properties where possible to give periods of respite. |
| 2.19.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration | Effects on noise sensitive receptors | Additional mitigation | BS 5228-1 indicates that screening provides 5 to 10dB of attenuation, but the effectiveness is dependent on the position of the barrier between the source and receiver and its height. The standard states: "assume an approximate attenuation of 5 dB when the top of the plant is just visible to the receiver over the noise barrier, and of 10 dB when the noise screen completely hides the sources from the receiver". Based on worst-case predicted noise impacts, it might be necessary for a barrier to completely screen the noise sources from the receiver, thereby providing 10 |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|--|-----------------------|---|
| | | | | dB of attenuation. This would only be required if the durations of high predicted night-time noise levels at receptors is at least one month. The outline CNVMP (submitted as part of the DCO application) will include appropriate mitigation for any significant effects identified. The final design of any screening required to avoid significant effects will be determined by the contractor appointed to construct the works and will be included in the final CNVMP. |
| 2.19.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration | Effects on noise sensitive receptors arising from off-site construction traffic | Additional mitigation | Proposed measures to mitigate potentially significant road traffic noise effects on CTR2 and CTR5 could include: Temporary screening between the road and the NVSR. This is potentially feasible for CTR2 and, if line of sight from the road is blocked, this should reduce road traffic noise levels by around 10dB. However, the façade of CTR5 is directly next to the road and there is not space available to introduce screening to this NVSR; A reduction in peak Light Vehicle (LV) trips through the promotion of car-sharing or contractor provided minibuses, etc; A reduction in peak daily heavy goods vehicle (HGV) trips through measures such as: Stockpiling of materials to reduce peak daily HGV demand; Backhauling, i.e. using laden vehicles to import stone and export excavated material; Optimising the size of HGVs to reduce the total number; Incentivising the appointed construction Contractor to seek engineering refinements to reduce material quantities and therefore HGV numbers; and The reuse of materials onsite to reduce offsite HGV trips, e.g. using excavated materials to form bunds, etc. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|---------------|---|--|-----------------------|---|
| | | | | A temporary reduction in the speed limit along Bentley Road. |
| 2.19.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration | Effects on vibration sensitive receptors | Additional mitigation | The outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) to be submitted with the DCO application will identify whether any vibration mitigation measures are required to avoid significant effects. These could comprise the following: Choosing alternative, lower impact equipment or methods wherever possible; Scheduling the use of vibration-causing equipment, at the least sensitive time of day; Routing, operating or locating high vibration sources as far away from sensitive areas as possible; Sequencing operations so that vibration-causing activities do not occur simultaneously; Isolating the equipment causing the vibration on resilient mounts; and |
| Operation and | maintenance | | | Keeping equipment well maintained. |
| 2.19.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration | Effects on noise sensitive receptors arising from operational onshore substation | Embedded mitigation | Each main source of sound at the proposed onshore substation, which has the potential to emit tonal sound, can be fully enclosed if required; although this has the potential to introduce other environmental impacts (e.g. landscape and visual effects) which must be considered. Certain equipment, such as the transformers and the shunt reactors, can be fully enclosed for operational and engineering reasons and, as such, a high degree of noise control can be applied to this equipment. Using these embedded measures, the substation will be designed to achieve the operational noise limits identified through the PEIR assessment. |
| 2.19.8 | Volume 1, Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration | Effects on vibration sensitive receptors arising from operational onshore substation | Embedded mitigation | The substation plant will be designed and installed as to minimise vibration transmission from any plant items which might generate vibration. This control of vibration at source is necessary to maximise life of the |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---|--|
| | | | | plant and minimise maintenance. Typically, placing vibration isolation mounts into concrete pads would ensure that groundborne vibration is not perceptible beyond the immediate area of the substation. | |
| Decommission | ing | | | | |
| None proposed | | | | | |
| Monitoring con | nmitments | | | | |
| Where NVSRs may be exposed to construction noise for extended periods, or could be exposed to significant construction noise effects, noise monitoring may be required to quantify construction noise levels. Results of monitoring should be used to identify any potential impacts, inform investigations into the cause of any impacts and to aid in the determination of additional mitigation measures, as appropriate, to reduce and avoid the impacts identified. Monitoring may consist of long-term monitoring at fixed locations or of short-term sample measurements, as appropriate. Details of monitoring which may be required will be | | | | | |
| specified in the CEMP. If, for any reason, vibratory ground-compaction works may be in operation in close proximity to buildings and there is the potential for cosmetic building damage, building conditions should be assessed prior to and after the construction phase, and any damage made good. No reason for ground compaction works to be in close proximity to buildings has been identified to date. Requirements for building condition surveys and any vibration monitoring which may be required will be specified in the CEMP. | | | | | |
| Once the Project is constructed, commissioned, and operating normally, operational noise levels will be monitored to confirm that they do not give rise to any significant effects. The methodology for operational noise monitoring, including the locations and duration of monitoring, and the criteria to be met, will be agreed in advance with Tendring District Council, as secured by a DCO Requirement. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

2.20 Traffic and transport (Chapter 27)

Table 2.20 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to traffic and transport

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.20.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport | Construction-related traffic effects | Embedded mitigation | An outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (OCTMP) will be submitted with the DCO application. The OCTMP would contain details of measures to control, monitor and enforce HGV movements and would provide details of the mechanisms for managing design of accesses and offsite highway works. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|---|---------------------|--|
| | | | | The OCTMP would also include 'Travel Plan' measures to manage the number of single occupancy car trips. |
| 2.20.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport | Potential construction-related traffic disturbance within Thorpe-le-Soken | Embedded mitigation | As requested by Essex County Council, HGV movements through Thorpe-le-Soken will be scheduled to occur outside of school start and finish times. These restrictions would be managed through the Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP). |
| 2.20.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport | Construction-related traffic effects | Embedded mitigation | An access strategy has been developed that seeks to reduce the impact of HGV traffic upon the most sensitive communities and to avoid travelling via narrow roads. The access strategy would be facilitated by: The construction of a temporary haul road along the onshore cable route; The creation of vehicle crossovers; and Controls on vehicle routing. |
| 2.20.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport | Construction-related traffic effects | Embedded mitigation | A temporary haul road would be provided to provide safe access for construction vehicles along the onshore cable route, thus reducing the requirement for vehicles to travel via the public highway. |
| 2.20.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport | Construction-related traffic effects | Embedded mitigation | To avoid vehicle access via unsuitable locations, where the onshore cable corridor and haul road cross certain sensitive roads, no direct access would be provided and vehicles would only be permitted to cross the highway. The proposed access strategy includes: |
| | | | | Little Clacton Road. To avoid construction traffic access via Little Clacton Road and Great Holland, vehicles would access from access 2a or 2b and travel north on the temporary haul road crossing over at Little Clacton Road (crossing point 1 and 2) before travelling north towards the existing railway line. B1034, Damant's Farm Lane, B1414 and Golden Lane. To reduce the volume of construction traffic routed via Thorpe-le-Soken, access would be |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | | | taken from the B1035 to the north of the village and all construction traffic would travel south on the temporary haul road from access 4, crossing over either at crossing points 12a, 11a, 10a, 9a, 6, 5, 4 and 3, or 12b, 11b, 10b, 9b, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4 and 3. Lodge Lane, Wolves Hall Lane, and Stones Green Road. To avoid HGV access via Tendring Green along the B1035, all HGV traffic would access from the north via access 12a or b and travel south on the temporary haul road, crossing point 13 and 14. Payne's Lane, Spratts Lane, Barlon Road. To avoid HGV access from the east via access 16 and travel west on the temporary haul road, and 170 avoid HGV access from the east via access 16 and travel south access from the other traffic would access from the east via access 16 and travel west on the temporary haul road, crossing over at 22. These measures would be captured in the OCTMP. |
| 2.20.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport | Construction-related traffic effects | Embedded mitigation | Landfall access 1a or 1b, vehicle routeing strategy - to avoid the necessity for HGVs to travel via the B1033 and Thorpe-le-Soken towards the landfall access. |
| 2.20.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport | Construction-related traffic effects | Embedded mitigation | Onshore cable route, access 4, 5, 6 and 7, vehicle routing strategy – to avoid the necessity for HGVs to travel via the B1035 and Tendring Green and Tendring towards access 4, 5, 6 and 7, all HGVs would be routed south on the B1035 and then west on the B1033 towards the A133. |
| 2.20.8 | Volume 1, Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport | Construction-related traffic effects | Embedded mitigation | Onshore substation access 16, vehicle routeing strategy – to avoid the necessity for HGVs to travel via Little Bromley towards the onshore substation access (access 16), all HGVs would be routed south on Bentley Road, towards the A120. |
| 2.20.9 | Volume 1, Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport | Construction-related traffic effects | Embedded mitigation | To avoid disruption to transport users whilst the projects cables are installed under road and rail |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | | | infrastructure, trenchless crossing techniques will be used at the following locations: The railway line towards Walton-on-the-Naze and Frinton-on-Sea. All A and B roads and the following local roads: Walton Road; Stones Green Road; and Bentley Road. |
| 2.20.10 | Volume 1, Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport | Construction-related traffic effects | Embedded mitigation | To avoid disruption to transport users whilst the projects' cables are installed under Lodge Lane and Spratts Lane, temporary road diversions would be established. This would be via agreed diversion routes, via existing private tracks or a temporary access track within the DCO order limits. |
| 2.20.11 | Volume 1, Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport | Highway safety effects | Additional mitigation | It is assessed that the change in HGV traffic associated with the construction of North Falls could result in a potentially significant highway safety effect at certain locations. Additional mitigation measures will be outlined within a future OCTMP (as part of the DCO application) and secured by a DCO Requirement. The additional mitigation measures would make HGV drivers aware of the existing road safety risks and consequently minimise potential impacts. These measures would include: Driver inductions and training Driver information packs Near miss reporting |
| 2.20.12 | Volume 1, Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport | Driver delay | Additional mitigation | North Falls construction traffic could result in potentially significant driver delay. To ensure that Light Vehicle (LV) numbers can be reduced, it is proposed that all employees would initially be required to drive to access 12a or b to the south of the A120. Employees would then be grouped into vehicles to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicle trips. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | | | | These additional mitigation measures will be outlined within a future OCTMP (as part of the DCO application) and secured by a DCO Requirement. |
| 2.20.13 | Volume 1, Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport | Driver delay | Additional mitigation | Temporary road closures during construction could result in moderate adverse driver delay for users of Little Clacton Road. |
| | | | | Prior to the submission of the DCO application, the Applicant plans to undertake further site investigation works to establish the potential to use trenchless technologies at this location. If trenchless techniques cannot be used at this location, the following additional mitigation measures are proposed: |
| | | | | Temporarily widening of the road to allow the works to be undertaken in two stages, thereby maintaining one lane for traffic, with traffic controlled via signal control; Working with Essex County Council and local stakeholders to agree an appropriate time to undertake the works (e.g. outside of summer holidays); Implementation of advanced signing to assist drivers in finding alternative routes; and |
| | | | | Ensuring all road closure works are staggered to minimise any cumulative effects within close geographical areas. |
| | | | | If required, these additional mitigation measures will be outlined within a future OCTMP (as part of the DCO application) and secured by a DCO Requirement. |
| Operation and n | naintenance | | | |
| 2.20.14 | Volume 1, Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport | Operational traffic effects | Embedded mitigation | To provide for operational staff and maintenance vehicles to periodically visit the onshore substation to carry out routine checks and maintenance, passing places will be installed along Ardleigh Road. These passing places will allow vehicles to pass along this single lane road, reducing the potential for delays. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---|
| Decommissionin | g | | | |
| None proposed | | | | |
| Monitoring comm | nitments | | | |
| | | | | nstruction. The OCTMP will provide details of the details of the details of the commitments to monitoring and reporting of: |
| Vehicle numb | ers against agreed targets; | | | |
| Transgression | ns of HGVs from routes; | | | |
| Accidents and | d near misses; | | | |
| Highway cond | dition; and | | | |
| Complaints | | | | |

2.21 Human health (Chapter 28)

Table 2.21 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to human health

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.21.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 28 Human Health | Physical activity effects | Embedded mitigation | At landfall, horizontal directional drilling (HDD) will be used in order to avoid disturbances to the public and access to the beach. To avoid disruption to transport users, trenchless crossing techniques will be used in certain locations. |
| 2.21.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 28 Human Health | Journey times and/or reduced access effects | Embedded mitigation | Potential effects on journeys times and access will be minimised through the following: An OCTMP that will be submitted with the DCO application. This will contain measures to control, monitor and enforce HGV movements and would provide details of the mechanisms for managing design of accesses and offsite highway works. |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | | | It will also include a 'Travel Plan' to manage the number of single occupancy car trips. Any restrictions requested or agreed with Essex County Council (or other relevant stakeholders) will be managed through the CTMP. |
| 2.21.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 28 Human Health | Journey times and/or reduced access effects | Additional mitigation | Good practice mitigation measures have been recommended as part of diversions to help minimise the risk of any behavioural change as a result of unexpected or unknown duration changes. These include: Providing diversions signs and advertising notices locally in advance of time that will explain the new route and duration of the diversion; Providing diversions that are suitable in terms of providing equivalent levels of access; and Providing reopening signs and notices that advertise the reopening of the route and promote active travel connectivity to destinations. These measures will be included within the Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan (OPRoWMP) submitted along with the DCO application. |
| Operation and I | naintenance | | | |
| 2.21.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 28 Human Health | Impacts on human health | Embedded mitigation | Embedded design for EMF comprises the shielding of part of the cable which is designed to the International Commission on Non-ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) guidelines (1998) 'Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields (up to 300 GHz)' and guidelines (2010) 'Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric and magnetic fields (1Hz – 100 kHz)'. Embedded mitigation through the burial of cables instead of using overhead cables for North Falls. As EMF decreases rapidly with distance and by burying the cables, this eliminates the magnetic field and creates distance between any receptor at the surface |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | |
|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---|--|
| | | | | resulting in a lower field than the cable itself generates. | |
| Decommissionin | Decommissioning | | | | |
| None proposed | None proposed | | | | |
| Monitoring com | Monitoring commitments | | | | |
| None proposed | | | | | |

2.22 Seascape, landscape and visual impact assessment (Chapter 29)

Table 2.22 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to seascape, landscape and visual impact assessment

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Mitigation | | | |
| Construction | | | |
| None proposed | | | |
| Operation and mai | ntenance | | |
| None proposed | | | |
| Decommissioning | | | |
| None proposed | | | |
| Monitoring commit | tments | | |
| None proposed | | | |

2.23 Landscape and visual impact assessment (Chapter 30)

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|---|---|---------------------|---|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.23.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 30 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment | Potential landscape effects during construction | Embedded mitigation | North Falls has committed to seeking to use trenchless techniques where practicable at all key sensitive linear features, including: All 'important' hedgerows and those hedgerows potentially suitable for supporting dormice or commuting / foraging bats; Watercourses potentially suitable for supporting water voles / otters; Veteran trees; Woodland UKHPI; Ponds UKHPI. |
| 2.23.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 30 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment | Potential landscape effects during construction | Embedded mitigation | NFOW have committed to reduce the onshore cable corridor working width to 37m at hedgerow crossings where open cut trenching is proposed, to minimise the amount of hedgerow removal required. This will be achieved by not including the topsoil/subsoil storage bunds in the cable corridor working width at hedgerow crossings. Hedgerows will be replanted following construction but note that canopy tree species cannot be replanted within 5m of the buried cables, which will restrict canopy tree planting for a 37m swathe during hedgerow reinstatement. Hedgerow planting would be undertaken in the first winter season following construction. |
| 2.23.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 30 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment | Potential landscape effects during construction | Embedded mitigation | All habitats subject to temporary disturbance during construction, will be reinstated in full following the completion of construction. The specific details of the reinstatement will be set out within the EMP for each habitat. The following core principles for habitat reinstatement would be included within the EMP: |

Table 2.23 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to landscape and visual impact assessment

NorthFallsOffshore.com

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | Semi-improved grassland habitats |
| | | | | All topsoil stripped in semi-improved grassland areas would be stored separately and reinstated following the completion of construction. Topsoil storage would be subject to a Soil Management Plan, which would also detail measures for soil storage and handling. Grassland reseeding of areas of nature conservation value would either be left to regenerate naturally or undertaken using a local seed mix, to be agreed in advance with Natural England and Essex Wildlife Trust. |
| | | | | Trees and hedgerows |
| | | | | As advised by Essex County Council during the EPP, all tree and shrub planting undertaken by NFOW will be subject to an up to 10 year after care period. |
| | | | | As advised by Natural England during the EPP, all hedgerows within the onshore project area not removed for construction to be allowed where possible to thicken up during construction to facilitate use as feeding and commuting corridors for wildlife. |
| | | | | Arable field margins |
| | | | | If landowner permission can be reached, this habitat will be reinstated in consultation with Essex Wildlife Trust and the local landowner to ensure the optimum benefits can be gained from each margin affected. Prior to construction, the arable field margins will be re-surveyed to assess their conservation value. Attempts will then be made to ensure habitat reinstatement takes the form of one of the following (JNCC, 2008) |
| | | | | Cultivated, low-input margins (land managed specifically to create habitat for annual arable plants); |
| | | | | Margins sown to provide seed for wild birds (margins or blocks sown with plants that are allowed to set seed and which remain in place over the winter); |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | |
|------------------------|---|--|---------------------|---|--|
| | | | | Margins sown with wild flowers or agricultural legumes and managed to allow flowering to provide pollen and nectar resources for invertebrates; | |
| | | | | Margins providing permanent, grass strips with mixtures of tussocky and fine-leaved grasses. | |
| Operation and | maintenance | | | | |
| 2.23.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 30 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment | Potential landscape effects during operation | Embedded mitigation | Mitigation of landscape and visual effects has been undertaken through design modifications and input to the design process. This includes consideration of the location of the various components within the onshore substation zone, and consideration of the materials used, colour palette and boundary treatments | |
| Decommission | ing | | | | |
| None proposed | None proposed | | | | |
| Monitoring commitments | | | | | |
| To be confirmed | at ES stage. | | | | |

2.24 Socio-economics (Chapter 31)

Table 2.24 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to socio-economics

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.24.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 31 Socio- Economics | Effects upon socio-economic receptors | Embedded mitigation | Community engagement is ongoing and will continue after submission of the DCO and throughout the development of the project. Stakeholders in relation to socio-economics that will be engaged include: Local authorities; Landowners; and |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|------------------------|--|---|---------------------|---|
| | | | | Local communities and businesses, including local accommodation suppliers. Consultation will also help ensure that management plans are prepared and implemented sufficiently to mitigate any potential impacts. |
| 2.24.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 31 Socio- Economics | Effects associated with air quality | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.13 |
| 2.24.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 31 Socio- Economics | Effects associated with noise and vibration | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.19 |
| 2.24.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 31 Socio- Economics | Effects associated with traffic and transport | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.20 |
| 2.24.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 31 Socio- Economics | Effects on landscape and visual amenity | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.23 |
| Operation and r | naintenance | | | |
| 2.24.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 31 Socio- Economics | Effects associated with air quality | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.13 |
| 2.24.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 31 Socio- Economics | Effects associated with noise and vibration | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.19 |
| 2.24.8 | Volume 1, Chapter 31 Socio- Economics | Effects associated with traffic and transport | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.20 |
| 2.24.9 | Volume 1, Chapter 31 Socio- Economics | Effects on landscape and visual amenities | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.23 |
| Decommissioning | | | | |
| None proposed | | | | |
| Monitoring commitments | | | | |
| None proposed | | | | |

2.25 Tourism and recreation (Chapter 32)

Table 2.25 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to tourism and recreation

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|--------------|--|--|---------------------|---|
| Mitigation | | | | |
| Construction | | | | |
| 2.25.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 32 Tourism and Recreation | Disruptions to tourism and recreational assets | Embedded mitigation | Construction works along the final onshore cable route will employ a sectionalised approach to minimise impacts. The trenches will be excavated and backfilled once the cable ducts are laid, and the reinstatement process will commence in as short a timeframe as possible. |
| 2.25.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 32 Tourism and Recreation | Disruptions to tourism and recreational assets | Embedded mitigation | The Applicant has committed to install the cables at the landfall using HDD, thereby avoiding physical disturbance or prolonged access restrictions to Frinton Beach and Holland Haven. |
| 2.25.3 | Volume 1, Chapter 32 Tourism and Recreation | Disruptions to tourism and recreational assets | Embedded mitigation | The Applicant has committed to using trenchless crossing techniques such as HDD at major crossings such as major roads, river, and rail crossings. |
| 2.25.4 | Volume 1, Chapter 32 Tourism and Recreation | Disruptions to tourism and recreational assets | Embedded mitigation | Where possible, Public Rights of Way (PRoW) will be kept open to minimise impacts to recreational users. Where a PRoW is crossed, a suitable diversion will be created where possible and traffic control and other safety measures will be put in place. PRoW condition surveys will be undertaken before, during, and after the construction phase to identify any damages. Following the completion of construction works, all damages will be repaired and PRoW will be reinstated to their original condition. PRoW routing through locations of permanent infrastructure will be provided with a diversion, and the existing route will be closed. An OPRoWMP will be drafted and submitted as part of the DCO application, which will include a full list of crossings and a description of onshore construction techniques at each location and other proposed mitigation measures. PRoW closures and diversions will be communicated to the relevant local authority in |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments |
|-----------|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | | | advance of works commencing, and information on the duration and proposed alternative routes will also be publicly circulated through site notices and the press. |
| 2.25.5 | Volume 1, Chapter 32 Tourism and Recreation | Disruptions to tourism and recreational assets | Embedded mitigation | Working areas during construction and operational maintenance will be enclosed within fencing, enabling continued use of nearby routes whilst work is underway where possible. The type of fencing will be selected to suit the location and purpose and will be agreed with the relevant local authority. Similar approaches will be implemented for coastal construction works around the landfall area and marine works along the offshore cable corridor and around the array areas. Offshore safety zones will also be sought, and the buffer area will be drawn as appropriate. |
| 2.25.6 | Volume 1, Chapter 32 Tourism and Recreation | Disruptions to tourism and recreational assets | Additional mitigation | Advanced warning and accurate location details will be circulated to members of the public, along with any advisory passing distances and alternative routes. |
| 2.25.7 | Volume 1, Chapter 32 Tourism and Recreation | Disruptions to tourism and recreational assets | Embedded mitigation | Outline management plans which oversee construction activities and O&M activities will be prepared and submitted alongside the DCO application. These plans include an OCoCP covering construction dust, noise, vibration, and other forms of pollution, an Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (OCTMP), an Outline Landscape and OLEMS, and an Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (OLEMP). |
| 2.25.8 | Volume 1, Chapter 32 Tourism and Recreation | Disruptions to tourism and recreational assets | Embedded mitigation | The commitment to use underground cable systems for the onshore cable route between the landfall and electrical connection point avoids the requirement to construct new overhead lines. The mitigation embedded in this approach will lead to notably reduced impacts on landscape and visual receptors during the construction phase and practically no impacts during the operation phase. It also notably reduces the potential for the onshore cable route to contribute to significant cumulative effects. The |

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | |
|---|--|--|---------------------|--|--|
| | | | | construction works will also be notably smaller scale than those required to install new overhead lines. | |
| 2.25.9 | Volume 1, Chapter 32 Tourism and Recreation | Disruptions to tourism and recreational assets | Embedded mitigation | Community engagement is ongoing and will continue after submission of the DCO and throughout the development of the project. Stakeholders in relation to tourism and recreation that will be engaged include: Local authorities; Landowners; and Local communities and businesses, including local accommodation suppliers. Consultation will also help ensure that management | |
| | | | | plans are prepared and implemented sufficiently to mitigate any potential impacts. | |
| Operation and | maintenance | | | | |
| 2.25.10 | Volume 1, Chapter 32 Tourism and Recreation | Effects on to tourism and recreational assets | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.25.5, 2.25.7 and 2.25.8 | |
| Decommissioning | | | | | |
| None proposed | | | | | |
| Monitoring commitments | | | | | |
| Where monitoring is proposed for tourism and recreation, this would be agreed with the appropriate stakeholders prior to construction works commencing and included within the OCoCP and the Construction Method Statements (CMS), which would be submitted along with the DCO application. | | | | | |
| It should be noted that as part of the OPRoWMP, NFOW would conduct PRoW condition surveys before, during, and after the construction phase to identify any damages that need to be repaired and to compare pre- and post-construction conditions. | | | | | |

2.26 Climate change (Chapter 33)

Table 2.26 Mitigation and monitoring in relation to climate change

| Reference | Cross reference to PEIR | Environmental effect | Mitigation type | Mitigation and monitoring commitments | | | |
|------------------------|--|---|---------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Mitigation | | | | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | | | |
| 2.26.1 | Volume 1, Chapter 33 Climate Change | Release of greenhouse gasses due to the project | Embedded mitigation | The IEMA Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Management Hierarchy, which sets out a structure to eliminate, reduce, substitute and compensate (IEMA, 2022), has been followed as part of the project's development. | | | |
| Operation and n | Operation and maintenance | | | | | | |
| 2.26.2 | Volume 1, Chapter 33 Climate Change | Release of greenhouse gasses due to the project | Embedded mitigation | Measures described in 2.26.1. | | | |
| Decommissioning | | | | | | | |
| None proposed | | | | | | | |
| Monitoring commitments | | | | | | | |
| None proposed | None proposed | | | | | | |